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1. Preliminaries. This communication is an abridged version of an earlier report on a study undertaken jointly by the University of the Philippines' School of Economics and the Bureau of the Census and Statistics with the support of the Ford Foundation.

The leader will be assumed to be familiar with the terminology and fundamental ideas of input-output analysis and methodology. A number of references on this subject have been included in the bibliography at the end of the paper.

The basic classification of the productive sectors adopted has been broadly patterned after the United Nations International Standard Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC). To determine the imported component of the industry total supply of goods and services, the ISIC classification scheme has been matched with the United Nations Standard International Trade Classification (SITC).

Domestic outputs are valued at producer prices (i.e. exfarm and ex-factory, or f.o.b.) while imports are calculated in c.i.f. values. Since inputs shown in establishment reports are valued at purchaser's price, producer's value is derived by deducting from reported costs the amounts of inputs attributed to trade, transport services and indirect taxes. These margins are then distributed to their respective sectors.

[^0]A detailed description and enumeration of our input-output industry classification is given as follows:

NO.
1 Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry:
palay, corn (both ummilled), export crops (e.g. unprocessed sugar cane, coconut, tobacco, abica), fruits and vegetables, livestock and poultry, others (e.g. ramic, cotton) ; forestry, logging; fishing.

2 .Minimy and Quarrying:
non-metallic products (e.g. coal, crude petroleum and natural gas), metallic moducts (e.g. gold, iron, silver and others) ; quarrying covers stone, marble, limestone, sand, gravel, clay and rock salt.

3 Fowe Mamufuctures:
cigars, cigarettes and related products, leaf tobacco (cured or re(licied).

4 Beverages:
liguors, wines, brewery and malt products, soft drinks.

5 Tobace Products:
cigars, cigarettes and related products, leaf tobacco ( (cured or redried).

6 Textile Products:
knitting mill products, textile mill products, cordage, twine and net, other textile products.

7 Fortrear:
footwear, wearing apparel, other made-up textile products,

8 Wood Products:
sawmill and planning products, wood. en containers, cane wares, cork and other wood products.

9 Furmiture and Fixtures.

10 Proper Products:
paper, paper products.261

ISIC CLASSIFICATION

013-019
021-022
01.11-0114

0121-0123
04i, 043

201-209

211-3.12
214

221-222

231-233
239

241
243-244

251-253
259

261

NO.
11 Primed Muterials:
newspapers, periodicals and books. commercial printing products, bookbinding and service industries.
12 Leather Products:
leather, leather and leather substi- tute products.
13 Rubber Products:
rubber footwear, tires and related products, other rubber products.
$301-802$ ..... 309
14 Chemicals:
basic industrial chemicals, vegetables and animal fats and oils. ..... 311-413 ..... 3.19
15 Petroleum Prolucts:
petroleum refinery, miscellaneous ..... 82.1
products of petroleum and coal. ..... 829
16 Nom-metallic Products:
glass and glass products, structural ..... 331-284 clay products, cement, pottery, china ..... 389
17 Ferrous Metal Products:iron and steel, ferrous metal products.341-342
18 Non-ferrous Metal Products:
tin-aluminum wares, structural metal ..... 35.1457 products, cutlery, hand tools and gen- ..... $35 ?$ eral hardware, stamped, coated and engraved metal, fabricated wire prod- ucts, heating, cooking and plumbing equipment (except electrical).
19 Nom-electrical Muchinery:farm machinery, metal working ma-$362-465$
chinery, special industrial machineqy,367,969
general industrial machinery, house-hold type service, machines and ap-pliances, other non-electrical machi-nery.
20 Electrical Machinery:electrical distribution and control ap-371-374paratus, electrical communicationequipment, household electrical appli-ances, wiring devices, miscellaneouselectrical machinery and equipment.
ISIC CLASSIFICATION281-283291, 293

NO.
21 Truusport Equipment:
ship-building, motor vehicles, repai: shop products, bicycles, tricycles and other equipment.

ISIC CLASSIFICATION

381
383-385
389
22 Miscellaneous Manufactures:

- professional-scientific measuring and 394
controlling instruments photo- 399 graphic equipment and supplies, jewelry, silverwares and plated wares, musical instruments, other miscellareous manufactures.

23 Construction:
.residential, commercial, industrial, 44.1
and institutional, special trade con- . . 419
tractors, heavy construction (e.g. 412
highways, bridges, harbors, airports, etc.).

24 Wholesale and Retail Trade:
611-619
621-629)
25 Tranisport Services:
travel and freight services on land, sea, and air, including forwarding, shipping, tourist and brokerage services.

26 Conmmunicution:
communication services including tele-731-732

- phone and telegraph.

27 Electricity, Gas and Water:
511-512

28 Real Estate, Banking, amd Insurance:
29 Other Services:
-basiness services (e.g. legal, accounting and auditing, engineering and technical services), recreational services (e.g. radio, television, movies and theaters), personal services (e.g. restaurants, hotels, barber shons, beauty parlors, etc.)

Items 3 to 22 are groups of establishments primarily engaged in the same or similar lines of economic activity which can generically be termed manufacturing industries. The class-
ification adopted here are based on the guidelines set by the United Nations International Recommendation in Basic Industrial Statistics: A Guide to Objectives and Definition. and, of course, the ISIC.

While most of the materials used in this study are taken from published and unpublished data in the Bureau of the Cer1sus and Statistics, other statistical agencies of the government have also substantially contributed both supplementary and primary unpublished information. A complete rundown of these sources of information is as follows:

SECTORS
Agricultare, Forestry, Fishing (Number 1)

Mining and Quarrying (Number 2)

Mamuficturing Industries
(Numbers 3 to 22 )
Construction
(Number 23)

Wholesale and Retail Trade (Number 24)

SOURCES OF DA $\dot{T A}$
BCS 1960 Census of Agriculture Bureatu of Agricultural Economics Philippine Coconut Administration
Bureau of Forestry
Philippine Fisheries Commission
BCS 1961 Census on Forestry, Logging, and Fishing

BCS 1961 Economic Census for Mining and Quarrying
Bureau of Mines
BCS Industry Division
BCS 1961 Economic Census for Manufacturing

PCS 1961 Economic Census on Construction
BCS Industry Division
Philippine Contractors Assopiation
Bureau of Public Highways
Department of Public Works
Civil Acronautics Administration
National Waterworks and Sewerage Authority
Manila Railroad and Philippine Railways Companies
Manila Gas Company
Bureau of Telecommunications
People's Homesite and Housing Corporation

BCS 1961 Economic Census on Commerce
RCS Business Division
Bureau of Commerce

SECTORS
Transport Services and
Communication
(Numbers 25 and 26 )

Electricity. Gas and Water (Number 27)

Real Estate, Banking and Insurance
(Number 28)

SOURCES OF DATA
BCS 1961 Economic Census on Transportation
Land Transportation Commission Bureau of Telecommunications Bureau of Posts BCS Utilities Division

Manila Electric Company NAWASA
Office of Economic Coordination BCS Utilities Division

Central Bank
Insurance Commission
Philippine National Bank
Development Bank of the Philippises Philippine National Cooperative Bank
Social Security Systeni
Government Service Insurance System
BCS' 1961 Economic Census on
Services
BCS Services Division
University of the Philippines
Bureau of Private Schools
Bureau of Public Schools
Bureau of Vocational Schools
2. The 1961 Inter-Industry Matrices. Based on classification described in detail in the previous section the input-output transactions matrix, the output and input matrices of coefficients, and the inverse of the matrix of produced input coefficients have been determined. They are exhibited in the following Tables 1-4.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agriquture } \\ & \text { Fishing } \\ & \text { Forestry } \end{aligned}$ | Mining | Food Manufactures | Be |
| 1 Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | 75.205 | 4.113 | 271.542 |  |
| 2 Mining |  | 981 | 1.402 |  |
| 3 Food Manufactures | 165,198 |  | 125.246 |  |
| 4 Beverages |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Tobacco Products |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Textile Products | 4,969 |  | 14,531 |  |
| 7 Footwear |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Wood Products | 1,860 | 69 |  |  |
| 9 Furniture and Fixtures |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Paper and Paper Produets | 343 | 29 | 13.735 |  |
| 11 Printed Materials | 13 | 7 |  |  |
| 12 Leather and Leather Products |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Rubber Products | 8 | 8 | 9 |  |
| 14 Chemicals | 72.767 | 1,763 | 15,865 |  |
| 15 Petroleum Products | 22,513 | 11,296 | 26, 488 |  |
| 16 Non-Metallic Products |  |  | 13,990 |  |
| 17 Ferrous Metal Products |  | 2.155 | 1,165 |  |
| 18 Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 154 | 14 | 1,777 |  |
| 19 Non-Electrical Machinexy | 4,144 | 1,255 |  |  |
| 20 Electrical Machinery | 199 |  |  |  |
| 21 Transport Equipment | 1,232 | 85 |  |  |
| 22 Miscellaneous Manufactures | 297 | 8 | 6,674 |  |
| 23 Construction | 20,663 | 6,710 | 2,158 |  |
| 24 Wholesale and Retall Irade | 183,282 | 28,935 | 63.058 |  |
| 25 Transport Services | 28,684 | 4,932 | 13,944 |  |
| 26 Communication | 1,093 | 1,211 | 9,181 |  |
| 27 Electricity, Gas, Water | 2,146 | 1,651 | 56,035 |  |
| 28 Banking, Insurance, R eal Estate | 845.912 | 24,202 | 237.595 | $\underline{2}$ |
| 29 Other Services | 338,530 | 10,656 | 182,358 |  |
| Sub-total (Produced Inputs) | 1,769,212 | 100,587 | 1,126,753 | 5 |
| Competitive Imports Non-Competitive Imports | $\begin{aligned} & 60,025 \\ & 65,360 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,648 \\ & 8,958 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45,268 \\ 40,301 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 30 Total Imports | 125.385 | 17,606 | 85,569 |  |
| 31 Indirect Taxes Less Subsidies | 15,665 | 9, 040 | 39,283 | 4 |
| 32 Depreciation | 86, 475 | 20,353 | 30,284 |  |
| 33 Compensation of Employees | 1,164,307 | 54,204 | 111,445 | $\overline{3}$ |
| 34 Prorits | 778,841 | 25,451 | 2,428,509 | 8 |
| Sub-total (Primary Inputs) | 2,170,673 | 126,654 | 2,695,090 | 17 |
| Total Inputs | 3,939,885 | 227,241 | 3,821,8.43 | 23 |


|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sector | Agri. Fishing Forestry | Mining | $\begin{gathered} \text { Food } \\ \text { Manufac- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ | B |
|  | Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | . 01909 | .01810 | . 07105 |  |
|  | Mining |  | .00432 | . 00037 |  |
| 3 | Food Manufactures | 04193 |  | . 05109 |  |
| 4 | Beverages |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tobacco Products |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Textile Products | . 00126 |  | . 00380 |  |
| 7 | Footwear |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Wood Products | .00047 | . 00030 |  |  |
| 9 | Furmiture and Fixtures |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Paper and Paper Products | 000009 | .00013 | . 00359 |  |
| 11 | Printed Materials |  | . 00003 |  |  |
| 12 | Leather and Leather Products |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Rubber Products |  | . 00004 |  |  |
| 14 | Chemicals | . 01847 | . 00776 | . 00415 |  |
| 15 | Petroleum Products | . 00572 | . 04971 | . 00693 |  |
| 16 | Non-Metallic Products |  | . 00003 | . 00366 |  |
| 17 | Ferrous Metal Products |  | . 00948 | . 00031 |  |
| 18 | Non-Ferrous Metal Products | . 00004 | . 00006 | .00047 |  |
| 19 | Non-Electrical Machinery | . 00105 | . 00552 |  |  |
| 20 | Electrical Machinery | . 00005 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Transport Equipment | . 00031 | .00037 |  |  |
| 22 | Miscellaneous Manufactures | . 00008 | . 00004 | . 00175 |  |
| 23 | Construction | . 00524 | . 02953 | . 00056 |  |
| 24 | Wholesale and Retall Trade | . 04652 | . 12733 | . 01650 |  |
| 25 | Transport Services | . 00728 | . 02170 | . 00365 |  |
| 26 | Communication | . 00028 | . 00533 | . 00240 |  |
| 27 | Electricitye Gas. Water | . 00054 | . 00727 | . 01466 |  |
| 28 | Banking. Insurance, Real Estate | - .21470 | . 10870 | .06217 |  |
| 29 | Other Services | . 08592 | . 04689 | . 04771 |  |
|  | Sub-total (Produced Inputs) | .44904 | . 44264 | . 29482 |  |
|  | Competitive Imports Non-Competitive Imports | $\begin{array}{r} .01524 \\ .01659 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .03806 \\ .03942 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .01184 \\ .01055 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 30 | Total Imports | . 03183 | . 07748 | . 02239 |  |
| -31 | Indirect Taxes Less Subsidies | . 00398 | . 03978 | . 01028 |  |
| 32 | Depreciation. | . 02195 | . 08957 | . 00792 |  |
| 33 | 3 Compensation of Employees | . 29552 | . 23853 | . 02916 |  |
| 34 | Profits | . 19768 | . 11200 | . 63543 |  |
|  | Sub-total (Prinary Inputs) | . 55096 | . 55736 | . 70518 |  |
|  | Total Inputs | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |  |


| Sector | Agri. <br> Fishing <br> Forestry | $\frac{2}{\text { Mining }}$ | F $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & \mathrm{M} \\ & \mathrm{fa}\end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | 001909 | . 00104 |  |
| 2 Mining |  | . 000432 |  |
| 3 Food Manufactures | .04322 |  |  |
| Beverages |  |  |  |
| 5 Tobacco Products |  |  |  |
| 6 Textile Products | . 00928 |  |  |
| 7 Footwear |  |  |  |
| 8 Wood Products | . 00504 | . 00019 |  |
| 9 Furniture and Fixtures |  |  |  |
| 10 Paoer and Paper Products | . 00171 | . 00015 |  |
| 11 Printed Materials | . 00009 | . 00005 |  |
| 12 Leather and Leather Products |  |  |  |
| 13 Rubber Products | .00005 | . 00005 |  |
| 14 Chemicals | . 10067 | . 00244 |  |
| 15 Petroleum Products | . 04963 | . 02490 |  |
| 16 Non-Metallic Products |  | . 00003 |  |
| 17 Ferrous Metal Products |  | . 01025 |  |
| 18 Non-Ferrous Metal Products | .00086 | . 00008 |  |
| 19 Non-Electrical Machinery | . 01963 | . 00594 |  |
| 20 Electrical Machinery | . 00143 |  |  |
| 21 Transport Equipment | . 00666 | . 00046 |  |
| 22 Piscellaneous Manufactures | . 00247 | . 00007 |  |
| 23 Construction | . 03948 | . 01282 |  |
| 24 Wholesale and Petall Trade | . 08648 | . 01365 |  |
| 2.5 Transdort Services | .01448 | . 00249 |  |
| 26 Communication | . 02707 | . 03000 |  |
| 27 Electricity, Gas, Water | 000713 | . 00548 |  |
| 28 Bankins, Insurance, Real Esta te | . 24691 | . 00721 |  |
| 29 Other Services | -23787 | . 00749 |  |
| Competitive Imports | . 06125 | . 00882 |  |
| Non-Competitive Imports | . 09657 | . 01323 |  |
| 30 Total Imports | . 07568 | . 01063 |  |
| 31 Indirect Taxes Iess Subsidies | . .01652 | . 00954 |  |
| 32 Depreciation | . 06611 | . 01556 |  |
| 33 Compensation of Embloyees | .31678 | . 01475 |  |
| 34 Profits | . 0.07140 | . 00230 |  |


|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agialiture } \\ \text { Fishing } \\ \text { Forestry } \end{gathered}$ | Mining |
| 1/Agrioulture, Fishing, Forestry | 1,024379 | .019996 |
| 2 Mining | -000880 | 1.008983 |
| Food Manufactures | .047699 | . 002260 |
| 4 Beverages | . 000337 | . 000189 |
| 5 Tobacco Products | . 000075 | . 000042 |
| 6 Textile Products | . 001793 | . 000193 |
| 7 Footwear | . 000299 | .000231 |
| 8 Wood Products | . 002139 | . 001895 |
| 9 Fumiture and Fixtures | . 000092 | . 000072 |
| 10 Paper and Paper Products | . 001111 | . 000749 |
| 11 Printed Materials | . 000028 | . 000061 |
| 12 Leather and Leather Products | 000034 | .000053 |
| 13 Rubber Products | . 0000154 | 0000418 |
| 14 Chemicals | . 022564 | . 010192 |
| 15 Petroleum Products | . 007777 | . 053620 |
| 16 Non-Metallic Products | . 000855 | . 001174 |
| 12 Ferrous Metal Products | . 000175 | 011635 |
| 18 Non- Ferrous Motal Products | . 000186 | .000313 |
| 19 Non-Eiectrical Machinery | . 001114 | . 005700 |
| 20 Electrical Machinery | . 000107 | . 000204 |
| 21 Transport Equipment | . 000371 | . 000580 |
| 22 M1scellaneous Manufactures | .000515 | 0000338 |
| 23 Construction | . 007529 | . 032453 |
| 24 Wholesale and Retail Trade | . 058159 | .146399 |
| 25 Transport Services | . 0009418 | . 025085 |
| 26 Communication | .000742 | 0.005624 |
| 27 Electricity, Gas, Water | 0002683 | . 009295 |
| 28 Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | . 237718 | .137896 |
| 29 Other Services | . 094134 | 0.052517 |

The accounting system that describes the 1961 input-output framework may be shown by partitioning an inter-industry matrix into four parts:


Quadrant I comprises the final demand for goods and services of industries into which producing sectors are divided, broken down into households and government current expenditures, capital expenditures consisting of capital formation, net inventory change, and exports. Quadrant II records the inter industry transactions of the 29 producing industries. A row represents an industry's sales to the other industries, while a column contains the various purchases of an industry from other industries. The diagonal elements are outputs of an industry consumed within itself. Quadrant III contains, columnwise, the primary inputs broken down into the import content of the industry input, and the (gross) value added consisting of compensation of employees, profits, rent, and interests payments plus depreciation, and indirect taxes less subsidies. Quadrant IV consists of direct expenditures on primary inputs such as government and household services.

From Table I, note that in 1961, the volume of total transactions for the Philippine economy reached 41.25 billion pesos. This, in effect, sums up the individual level of transactions for each of the four quadrants making up the entire inter-industry matrix. The inter-industry sales and purchases (quadrant II) among and between the various industries themselves amounted to 6.39 billion pesos or $15.51 \%$ of the total volume of transactions. The disposition of industry output to final demand (quadrant I) amounted to 16.35 billion pesos or $39.64 \%$ of the entire transactions. Similarly, the sum of industry purchases of primary inputs (quadrant III) amounted to 16.35 billion
pesos. On the other hand, absorption of primary inputs by the final demand sector (quadrant.IV) totaled 2.15 billion pesos or $5.21 \%$ of the total transactions for the period.

In terms of the disposition of total output, intermediate demand amounted to 22.75 billion pesos, 6.39 billion or $28.12 \%$ of which were for produced inputs while the rest (i.e. 16.35 billion or $71.88 \%$ ) were for primary inputs. Final demand, on the other hand, amounted to 18.50 billion pesos, 16.35 billion or $88.38 \%$ of which represented absorption of produced inputs and 2.15 billion or $11.62 \%$ of which was the absorption of primary inputs.
3. The Relationship Between GNP and Input-Output Accounts in 1961. As systems of social accounting, both the GNP and input-output accounts record real transactions rather than financial flows. The latter are the subject of a flow of funds account. On the other hand, the GNP account of a country differs from its input-output account to the extent that the former account includes only final sales (output) while the latter account registers both intermediate and final sales. Some double-counting then is a deliberate feature of an output-input account, but this is totally absent in an internally consistent GNP account.

The relationship between the GNP and input-output accounts can be clarified in more formal terms. As mentioned earlier, except for intermediate sales which an input-output account includes but which a GNP account excludes, both accounts should reveal comparable national income figures. Once these intermediate purchases are eliminated both accounts are the same in virtually every respect.

The basic formulation used in the construction of the 1961 input-output table is the balanced-budget of each of the 29 producing industries, i.e. total input equals total output in each industry. Let

[^1]$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ the import content (in pesos) in this (i, j) - transactions,
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ total final expenditures in the i -th industry, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ (gross) value-added of the j -th industry.

Then

$$
\text { Total input }=\underset{i}{\Sigma} x_{i k}+\underset{i}{\sum m_{i k}}+v_{k}
$$

for an industry $k$, while

$$
\text { Total output }=\sum_{j} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{kj}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

in the same industry k . Thus

$$
\underset{i}{\Sigma} x_{i k}+\sum_{i} m_{i k}+v_{k}=\underset{j}{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{kj}}+\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{k}} .
$$

Summing up all industries,

Since,
we have, after a little transposition,

$$
\underset{k}{v_{k}}=\Sigma y_{k}-\underset{y k}{ } \sum_{i} m_{i k} .
$$

Note that the inter-industry transactions in the second quadrant are cancelled out leaving only the summed quantities in the third and first quadrants. For most inter-industry models, the left side of the last equation represents the GNP while the right side of the last equation is the GNE so that we have now the basic national accounts identity.

In the succeeding formulation, however, the model followed has included the fourth quadrant in order to be consistent with our national aggregates. The modified model then includes the final expenditures on primary inputs. Let $v$ ' be the (gross):'
value-added and $m$ be the imports directly consumed so that $v^{\prime}+m=y$, the total primary inputs directly purchased by the final demand sector. Then from the last equation we obtain

$$
\sum_{k} v_{k}+v^{\prime}+\sum_{k} \sum_{i} m_{i k}+m=\sum_{k} y_{k}+y
$$

or

$$
\Sigma v_{k}+v^{\prime}=\left(\underset{k}{\left(y_{k}\right.}+y\right)-\left(\sum_{k} \underset{i}{ } \mathrm{~m}_{i} i_{k}+m\right) .
$$

The left side of this last equation represents GNP (at market price) which is identical with the gross national expenditures (GNE) given at the right hand side. The following table describes both approaches.

T A E L E $5 . \quad$ THE PHILIPPINE GNP ACCOUNT FOR 1961
A. VALUE-ADDED APPROACH (INCOME)

## Item.

(1) Compensation of employees
(2) Profits, rents, interest
(3) Indirect taxes less subsidies
(4) Depreciation allowances

GNP (Market Price)
B. FINAL SALES APPROACH (EXPENDITURES)

## Item.

(1) Household consumption expenditures
(2) Govemment current expenditures
(3) Expenditures; on fixed assets
(4) Net increase in inventory
(5) Exports of goods and services
(6) Less: Imports of goods and services GNE

| Current Pesos | Per. |
| ---: | ---: |
| (Thousands) | cent |
| P12,047,623 | 71.51 |
| $1,529,317$ | 9.08 |
| $1,830,624$ | 10.87 |
| $1,762,962$ | 10.46 |
| $1,32,260$ | 7.91 |
| P18,502,786 | 109.83 |
| $1,656,811$ | 9.83 |
| $\underline{P 16,845,975}$ | 100.00 |

The above distribution is suggestive of the structure of thePhilippine economy in the neighborhood of the year 1961. Note:
that the figures measured here already include adjustments for net factor income from abroad.

The following table corresponds approximately to the current account of Households and Private Non-Profit Institutions in the national income accounts.

TABLE 6. PRIVATE APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNT FOR 1961
(In Current Thousand Pesos)

| Compensation of employees Profits, rents, interest | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{P} \quad 3,675,467 \\ 10,914,487 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Income of Private Sector | P14,589,954 |
| Consumption expenditures | 11,239,210 |
| Net indirect taxes on household purchases | 252,516 |
| Personal and corporate income taxes | 298,395 |
| Direct imports | 446,342 |
| Domestic services | 36,796 |
| Depreciation allowances and losses | 72,559 |
| Total Current Expenditures | P1.2,346,018 |
| Private Savings | 2,243,936 |
| Disposal of Income | $\underline{\text { P14,589,954 }}$ |

Thus, for the period in question, the total income of the private sector amounted to 14.6 billion pesos, $74.80 \%$ ( 10.9 billion) of which was generated out of profits, rents, and interest payments and the rest $25.2 \%$ from employee compensations. Out of this income, $84.61 \%$ ( 12.3 billion) was spent on consumption expenditures, taxes, imports, leaving a saving of 2.2 billion pesos ( $15.38 \%$ ), net of transfer payments from other acsounts and donations from abroad.

The following presents the current account of the government.

T A B L E 7. GOVERNMENT CURRENT ACCOUNT FOR 1961.
(In Current Thousand Pesos)
Net indirect taxes on:
Inter-industry purchases P 566,502
Capital outlays 122,207
Household purchases 252,516
Government current purchases 6,747

| Personal income taxes | 107.816 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corporate income taxes | 190,579 |
| Borrowings and transfers to current account | 324,551 |
| Current Revenue | P 1,570,918 |
| Consumption of grods and services | P 726,984 |
| Imports | 75,080 |
| bepreciation allowances | 8,07i) |
| Jndirect taxes on government current consumption | 6,747 |
| Compensation of employees | 712,433 |
| Government savinge | 41,601 |
| Current Outay | P 1,570,918 |

Current revenue of the government for 1961 amounted to 1.2 billion pesos: it is the sum of net indirect taxes and direct taxes paid by households and the business sectors. Total current experiditures for the same year amounted to 1.6 billion pesos. The deficit of 324,551 thousand pesos was covered by borrowings and transfers from other accounts.

## T A B L E 8. COMBINED CAPITAL ACCOUNT FOR 1961 <br> (In Current Thousand Pesos)

| Private Savings | P 2, 243,936 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jepreciation Allowances | 1,308,049 |
| Govermment Savings | 41,601 |
| Total Gross Savings | P 3,593,586 |
| New Fixed Capital Formation | P 1,830,624 |
| New Change in Stocks | 1,762,962 |
| Total Capital Outlay | P 3,593,586 |

## T A B L E 9. REST OF THE WORLD ACCOUNT FOR 1961

 (In Current Thousand Pesos)| Exports of goods and services | P 1,312,294 |
| :---: | :---: |
| , Re-exports | 19,960 |
| Current Receipts | P 1,332,260 |
| Intermediate imports | 719,870 |
| Final imports | 936,941 |
| Total imports | P 1,656,8.11 |
| Net lending to the Philippines and other transfers from abroad | 324,511 |
| Curent Payments | P 1,382,260 |

Observe that the total imports of some 1.7 billion pesos were distributed between the intermediate consumption of the producing sectors and the final consumption of households, capital goods, and government.

An accounting of the gross national income or value-added together with their percentage shares is given in the next table.

## T A B L E 10. DISTRIBUTION OF GROSS VALUE-ADDED BY INDUSTRIAL ORIGIN FOR 1961

| Industry | Value-Added | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\text { (Current P. } 000 \text { ) }$ | Share |
| He, Fishing, Forestry | $\begin{array}{r} \text { P } 2,045,288 \\ 109,048 \end{array}$ | $1.2 .14 \%$ |
| turing | 4,692,940 | 27.86 |
| tion | 291,659 | 1.73 |
| ty, Gas, Water | 201,098 | 1.19 |
| holesale and Retail | 2,063,443 | 12.25 |
| Insurance, Real Estate | 3,317,293 | 19.69 |
| t and Communication | 1,697,405 | 10.08 |
| crvices | 2,427,751 | 14.4! |
| ational Product (at current ket price) | P16,845,975 | $100.00 \%$ |

A further collapsing of the above Table 10 yields the following distribution:

|  |  | Value-Added | Sluare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing |  | 4,692,940 | 27.86 |
| Services |  | 9,998,699 | 59.35 |
| Agriculture, Fishing | Forestry, and Mining | P2,154,288 | 12.79 |
| Totals |  | P16,845,975 | 100.00\% |

Obviously, the structure of economic production in the Philippines continues to be biased towards the service industries, though manufacturers have become a notable part. The relatively small contribution of agriculture, fishing, forestry and mining to the gross value-added simply confirms the subsistence type of primary production that prevails in the country, to the extent that a very high proportion of the national labor
force is commonly known to be tied up with this line of production.
4. The Structure of Primary Inputs. The relatively small proportion of the GNP attributed to wages reflects the following factors:
(a) the prevalence of subsistence wages;
(b) the relative absence of aggresive labor unionism;
(c) the generally low wage expectation due to stable prices of commodities. The distribution of industries in terms of magnitude of relative shares in the national wage income for 1961. is given in the following:

TABLE 11. INDUSTRIAL STRUCTURE OF WAGE INCOME

| Sectors | Value of Wage Income ( $\boldsymbol{P 1 , 0 0 0 )}$ | Share in Total Wage Income | Runking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, Fishing, and Forestry | P 1,164,307 | 31.68\% | 1 |
| Other Services | 498,193 | 13.55 |  |
| Government | 712,436 | 19.38 | 2 |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 268,913 | 7.32 | 4 |
| Transport Services | 168,885 | 4.60 | 5 |
| Food Manufactures | 111,445 | 3.03 | 6 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 80,883 | 2.20 | 7 |
| Mining | 54,204 | 1.47 | 8 |
| Textiles | 49,523 | 1.35 | 9 |
| Wood products | 49,495 | 1.35 | 9 |
| Chemicals | 48,075 | 1.31 | 10 |
| Electricity, Gas, and Water | 40,123 | 1.09 | 11 |
| Households and Private Non-Profit Institutions | 36,796 | 1.00 | 12 |
| Sub-total | P 3,283,278 | 89.33\% |  |
| Other Sectors | 392,189 | 10.67 |  |
| All Sectors | P 3,675,467 | 100.00\% |  |
| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry, and Mining | P 1,218,511 | 33.15\% | 2 |
| Manufactures | 562,950 | 15.32 | 3 |
| Services | 1,894,006 | 51.53 | 1 |
| All Sectors | P 3,675,467 | 100.00\% |  |

Thus, of the total payments to labor, primary production accounts for a third, service a half, and manufacturers the rest. Such a configuration merely confirms the stage of underdevelopment of the Philippine economy in terms of a fairly limited range of secondary production and a disproportionate bias towards primary and tertiary lines of production. This distribution is, to a certain extent, also indicative of the type of agriculture that generally prevails in the Philippines.

An alternative approach to compensation of employees is to consider it as a cost outlay, that is, a wage bill in payment for purchases of labor units as a primary input to production. This tells, to some extent, on the factor bias of certain industries, that is to say, whether they are labor-biased or capital biased in production. While it may not be a rigorous way of testing factor bias, because the latter usually measures primary inputs in physical terms as so many man-hours and so many units of capital inputs per unit of a physical output, it is still useful to pursue the analysis of compensation of employees as a cost outlay, if only to clarify further the structrue of the economy in this aspect for the period in question.

The following tables 12 and 13 show respectively the distribution of industries in terms of the size of the wage cost as a fraction of industry cost and the percentage distribution of employment and compensation of employees in the major Philippine industry groups in 1961. The former reveals that primary production in the country appears to be labor-biased relative to the tertiary and secondary lines of production and that tertiary production is labor-biased in terms of the secondary lines of production to the extent that wage cost ratios suggest directions of factor intensities. To some extent the table betrays the type of manufacturing that prevails in the Philippines, which is mostly of the finishing type that requires substantial outlays on raw materials (working capital) largely imported. The latter table tells us that primary production absorbed half of the total employed labor at the time and the service industries a little more than a third. Considering the
percentage distribution of the number of paid workers and compensation of employees, one can also infer from this something of the type of labor skills that each of the three major industries put to their employ. The service industries which employed only $3.47 \%$ of the labor force in 1961 accounted for $39.12 \%$ of the :wage income for that period, while primary industries which employed $51.56 \%$ of the labor force contributed only $41.64 \%$ of the wage income. Manufactures which employed $13.74 \%$ of the labor accounted for $19.24 \%$ of the wage income. In terms of the structure of labor skills, it appears that the service industries employed the most skilled type of labor available at the time, manufacturing the semi-skilled labor, and the primary industries the most unskilled or undifferentiated labor.

Table 12. INDUSTRIAL STRUCTURE OF WAGE COST OVER INDUSTRY COST

|  | Wage Cost (in P1000) | Industr! Cos! (in ryomp) | Wage Cost./ Industry Cost (in percent) | Nanlinu! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comnnunication | P 21,701 | P 40,370 | - $83.75 \%$ | 1 |
| Other Scrvices | 498,193 | 1,423,147. | . 35.00 | 2 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing | 1,164,307 | 3,939,885 | - 29.55 | 3 |
| Mining | . 5 54,204 | 227,241 | 23.85 | 4 |
| Printed Materials | 32,559 | 145,718. | 22.34 | 5 |
| Footwear | 33,736 | 216,688 | - 15.57 | 6 |
| Non-ferrous Metals | 26,190 | 178,804 | 14.65 | 7 |
| Beverages | 32,960 | 234,865 | 14.03 | 8 |
| Transport Equipment | 24,838 | 184,880 | -13.43 | 9 |
| Wood Products | 49,495 | 369,232 | - 13.40 | 10 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 40,123 | 301,131 | 13.32 | 1.1 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 268,913 | 2,119,396 | -12.69 | 12 |
| Construction | 66,076 | 523,442 | - 12.62 | 13 |
| Non-metallic Products | 23,183 | 216,108 | -10.73 | 14 |
| Non-electrical Mach. | 21,957 | 211,129 | 10.40 | 15 |
| Electrical Machinery | 13,656 | 138,994 | - 9.82 | 1.6 |
| Rubber Products | 15,198 | 161,754 | - 9.39 | 17 |
| Misc. Manufactures | 11,176 | 120,427 | - 9.28 | 18 |
| Textile Products | 49;523 | 535,586 | - 9.25 | 19 |
| Transport Services | 168,885 | 1,981,331 | 1 8.52 | 20 |
| Leather Products | 3,1.77 | 39,508 | - 8.04 | 21 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 9,770 | ,131,151 | 1 -7.45 | 22 |
| Paper Products | 13,901 | 200,289 | -6.94 | 23 |
| Chemicals | 48,075 | 722,791 | 16.65 | 24 |
| Ferrous Metals | 13,503 | 210,292 | -6.42 | 25 |
| Tobaceo Products. | 23,107 | 475,630 | - 4.86 | 26 |
| Food Manufactures | 111,445 | 3,821,843 | - 2.92 | 27 |

$$
\text { AN INTER-INDUSTRY MODEL } 21
$$

| Banking, Insurance, and Real Estate Petroleum Products | 80,883 | 3,426,006 | 2.36 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5,501 | 453,621 | 1.21 | 29 |
|  | P 2,926,235 | P22,751,259 | 12.86\% |  |
| Agriculture, Fishing |  |  |  |  |
| Forestry, and Mining | P 1,218,511 | P 4,167,126 | 29.24\% | 1 |
| Services | 1,144,774 | 9,814,823 | 11.66 | $\underline{9}$ |
| Manufactures | 5,62,950 | 8,769,310 | 6.42 | 3 |
|  | P 2,926,285 | $\underline{\text { P22,751,259 }}$ | $\underline{12.86 \%}$ |  |

Table 13. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT AND COMPENSATION

| Industry Groups | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of Paial } \\ & \text { Workers } \end{aligned}$ |  | Compensation of Emıloyees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (in } 1000) \end{aligned}$ | Percentage Distribution | $\begin{gathered} \text { Viluc } \\ (1000 \text { pesos }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percentage Distribution |
| Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing | 3,726 | 51.09\% | P1,164,307 | 39.79\% |
| Mining | 34 | 0.47 | 54,204 | 1.85 |
| Food Manufactures | 242 | 3.82 | 111,445 | 3.81. |
| Heverages | 30 | 0.42 | 32,960 | 1.13 |
| Tobacco | 37 | 0.51 | 23,107 | 0.79 |
| Textile Products | 89 | 1.22 | 49,523 | 1.69 |
| Footwear | 166 | 2.28 | 33,736 | 1.15 |
| Wood Products | 90 | 1.23 | 49,495 | 1.69 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 25 | 0.34 | 9,770 | 0.33 |
| Paper Products | 16 | 0.22 | 13,901 | 0.48 |
| Printed Materials | 40 | 0.55 | 32,559 | 1.11 |
| Leather Products | 7 | 0.10 | 3,177 | 0.11 |
| Rubber Products | 19 | 0.26 | 15,198 | 0.52 |
| Chemicals | 44 | 0.60 | 48,075 | 1.64 |
| Petroleum Products | 3 | 0.04 | 5,501 | 0.19 |
| Non-metallic Products | 34 | 0.47 | 23,183 | 0.79 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 16 | 0.22 | 13,503 | 0.46 |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 39 | 0.53 | 26,190 | 0.89 |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 28 | 0.38 | 21,957 | 0.75 |
| Electrical Machinery | 22 | 0.30 | 13,656 | 0.47 |
| Transport Equipment | 30 | 0.41 | 24,838 | 0.85 |
| Misc. Manufactures | 25 | 0.34 | 11,176 | 0.38 |
| Construction | 272 | 3.73 | 66,076 | 2,26 |
| Wholesale and |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Trade | 788 | 10.81 | 268,913 | 9.19 |
| Transport Services and Communication | 304 | 4.17 | 190,586 | 6.51 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 22 | 0.30 | 40,123 | 1.38 |
| Other Services ${ }^{2}$ | 1,049 | 14.39 | 498,193 | 17.03 |
| Industries Unreported ${ }^{3}$ | 96 | 1.30 | 80,883 | 2.76: |
| Totals | $\xrightarrow{7,293}$ | 100.00\% | P2,926,235 | 100.00\% |

[^2]| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry, and Mining | 3,760 | 51.56\% | P1,218,511 | 41.64\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services | 2,531 | 34.76 | 1,144.774 | 39.12 |
| Manufactures | 1,002 | 13.74 | 562,950 | 19.24 |
| Totals | $\underline{7,293}$ | 100.00\% | $\overline{\text { P2,926,235 }}$ | 100:00\% |

In 1961, profits, rents, and interest payments amounted to 10.9 billion pesos or $69.79 \%$ of the GNP. Their sectoral distribution and the distribution of their magnitudes compared to industrial output is presented in the following tables:
: Table 14. INDUSTRIAL STRUCTURE OF PROFITS, RENTS, AND INTEREST


## Aggregated: Sectors

| Agriculture, Forestry, | Fishing, Mining | $7.37 \%$ | P 804,292 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Manufactures | $\cdots$ | 31.34 | $3,421,438$ |
| Services |  | 61.29 | $6,688,757$ |
| $\quad$ Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 15. INDUSTRIAL PROFITS, RENTS, AND INTEREST PER OUTPUT

| Sectors | Profits <br> Rents, Interest. <br> (in P1000) | Total <br> Industry Oulwat (in P1000) | Profits/Output Ratio (in \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banking, Insurance, |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | P3,229,719 | P3,426,006 | 94.27\% |
| Trade. Wholesale \& Retail | 1,757,825 | 2,119,396 | 82.95 |
| Food Manufactures | 2,428,509 | 3,821,843 | 63.54 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 75,658 | 131,151 | 57.68 |
| Other Services | 681,029 | 1,423,147 | 47.85 |
| Electricity, Gas \& Water | 143,112 | 301,131. | 47.52 |
| Transprort Sorvices | 838,893 | 1,981.381 | 42.34 |
| Petroleum Products | 177,198 | 453,621. | 39.06 |
| Beverages | 89,134 | 234,865 | 37.95 |
| Rubber Products | 50,972 | 161,754 | 31.51 |
| Textile Products | 157,465 | 585,586 | 29.40 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 778,841 | 3,939,885 | 19.77 |
| Tobacco | 86,347 | 475,630 | 18.15 |
| Chemicals | 129,806 | 722,79 | 17.96 |
| Printed Materials | 25,452 | 145,718 | 17.47 |
| Wood Products | 61,497 | 369,232 | 16.65 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 33,076 | 216,108 | 15.30 |
| Footwear | 30,132 | 216,688 | 13.91 |
| Mining' | 25,451 | 227,241 | 11.20 |
| Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 17,880 | 178.804 | 10.00 |
| Electrical Machinery | 11,338 | 138,994 | 8.15 |
| Construction | 35,490 | 523,442 | 6.78 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 2,696 | 39,508 | 6.82 |
| Communication | 2,689 | 40,370 | 6.66 |
| Non-Electrical Machinery | 12,554 | 211,129 | 5.94 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 10,999 | 200,289 | 5.49 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 10,394 | 210,292 | 4.94 |
| Transport Equipment | 9,034 | 184,880 | 4.88 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 1,302 | 120,427 | 1.08 |
| Aggregated Sectors |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining | P 804,292 | P4,167,126 | $19.30 \%$ |
| Manufactures | 3,421,438 | 8,769,810 | $39.01^{*}$ |
| Services | 6,688,757 | 9,814,823 | $68.15{ }^{\circ}$ |

Generally, the sectoral contribution to the national profits; rents, and interest income is an economist's measure of the utility of the sector to the national economy in terms of its social marginal productively. Table 14 confirms this.

For the period under consideration, indirect taxes less sub: sidies contributed $5.63 \%$ to the GNP (approximately 948 million pesos). Indirect taxes include local commodity taxes and import duties. The estimation of subsidies, however, does not include commodity taxes waived by the government as part of its broad program of incentives to stimulate manufacturing activities, but includes losses of semi-public corporation and the more conventional form of subsidy in terms of outright cash grants.

The size of the tax base or tax liability for indirect taxation depends on the extent to which the marketable surplus of commodities enters the monetized sector of the economy especially if taxes are ad valorem rather than specific. This is à rathèr important consideration to take into account in an underdeveloped economy characterized by a certain degree of ecoñomic dualism, in which the bulk of the money supply circuilates within a fairly delimited modern sector, while the subsistence agricultüral sector continues to include significant trading on a barter basis or where vendible surpluses are simply doled out for free on purely non-economic considerations.

One could argue that if much of the labor force and a notable portion of the national output are related to agriculture of a subsistence type, the taxable base for indirect taxation is already from the start very limited, since this form of taxation depends on the marketable surplus of produce. Some evidence of this is shown in the following table describing the relative shares of major sectors of the economy to the total net indirect taxes collected in 1961.

Table 16. AGGREGATED SHARES IN THE TOTAL INDIRECT TAXES

| Aggregated Sectors | Share <br> (in percent? | Total Net Indirect Tuivs (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and mining | $2.61 \%$ | P 24,705. |
| Manufactures | 57.15 | 541,797 |
| Household | 26.64 | 252,516 |
| Government | 0.71 | 6,747 |
| Fixed capital formation | 7.46 | 70,678 |
| Net Inventory change | 5.43 | 51,529 |
| Total Net Indirect Taxes | 100.00\% | P947,972 |

For getting an idea of the regressivity of the tax structure insofar as indirect taxes are concerned in that year, the following table may prove useful:

Table 17. INDUSTRIAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE TOTAL INDIRECT TAXES LESS SUBSIDIES

| Sector. | Share (in percent.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Net } \\ & \text { Indirect Taxes } \\ & \text { (in P1000) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households | 26.64\% | P 252,516 |
| Tobacco products | 15.48 | 146,737 |
| Petroleum products | 9.94 | 94,256 |
| Fixed capital formation | 7.46 | 70.678 |
| Net inventory change | 5.44 | 51,529 |
| Beverages | 4.28 | 40,596 |
| Food manufactures | 4.14 | 39,283 |
| Transport equipment | 3.86 | 36,563 |
| Chemicals | 3.23 | 30,604 |
| Non-electrical machinery | 2.83 | 26,799 |
| Ferrous metal products | 2.41 | 22,832 |
| -Textile products | 2.39 | 22,662 |
| Paper products | 1.79 | 17,028 |
| Agriculture, fishing, forestry | 1.65 | 15,665 |
| Non-ferrous metal products | 1.62 | 15,377 |
| Electrical machinery | 1.54 | 14,629 |
| Mining | 0.96 | 9,040 |
| Non-metallic products | 0.87 | 8,195 |
| Miscellaneous manufactures | 0.72 | 6,861 |
| Government | 0.71 | 6,747 |
| Rubber products | 0.52 | 4,961 |
| Footwear | 0.37 | 3,513 |
| -Wood products | 0.35 | 3,353 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 0.33 | 3,147 |
| Leather products | 0.24 | 2,209 |
| Printed materials | 0.23 | 2,192 |
| Total Net Indirect Taxes | 100.00\% | P 947,972 |

Thus, the single largest percentage contribution to net indirect tax revenues in 1961 was generated by the household sector. Whether this implies that indirect taxes is regressive or not depends on the income distribution for that period and on the type of commodities which account for the major portion of household expenditures. Available evidence shows that in 1961 of the 4.4 million families in the Philippines, $76.1 \%$ were low income households, i.e. having at most annual family income of 2,400 pesos. The distribution of family expenditures at the same time was biased towards purchases, actual and imputed, of agricultural and fishing products ( $18.29 \%$ ) and of food manufactures $(23.26 \%)$ which together amounted to 41. $55 \%$ of household expenditures. On this basis, with some qualifications, indirect taxes in the Philippines in 1961 may be described as rather regressive.

As a percent of industry cost, the distribution of indirect taxes less subsidies is given by the following:

Table 18. NET INDIRECT TAXES VERSUS INDUSTRY COST

| Sectors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio of } \\ & \text { Taxes to Coxt } \\ & \text { (in percent) } \end{aligned}$ | Value of Net Indirest Taxes (in P1000. | Value of Tolal Indunstr"' Cost (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco Products | 30.85\% | P 146,737 | P 475,030 |
| Petroleum Products | 20.78 | 94,256 | 453,621 |
| Transport Equipment | 19.78 | 36,563 | 184,880 |
| Beverages | 17.28 | 40,596 | 234,865 |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 12.69 | 26,799 | 211,129 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 10.86 | '22,832 | 210,292 |
| Electrical Machinery | 10:52 | 14,629 | 138,994 |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 8.60 | 15,377 | 178,804 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 8.50 | 17,028 | 200,289 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 5.70 | 6,861 | 120,427 |
| Leather Products | 5.59 | 2,209 | 39,508 |
| Chemicals | 4.23 | 30,604 | 722,791. |
| Textile Products | 4.23 | 22,662 | 535,586 |
| Mining | 3.98 | 9,040 | 227,241 |
| Fixed Capital Formation | 3.86 | 70,678 | 1,830,624 |
| Non-metallic Products | 3.79 | 8,195 | 216,108 |
| Rubber Products | 3.07 | 4,961 | 161,754 |
| Net Inventory Change | 2.92 | 51,529 | 1,762,962 |


| Furniture and Fixtures | 2.40 | 3,147 | 1.31,151 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households | 2.10 | 252,516 | 12,047,623 |
| Footwear | 1.62 | 3,513 | 216,688 |
| Printed Materials | 1.50 | 2,192 | 145,718 |
| Food Manufactures | 1.03 | 39,283 | 3,821,843 |
| Wood Products | 0.91 | 3,353 | 369,232 |
| Government | 0.44 | 6,747 | 1,529,317 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing | 0.39 | 15,665 | 3,939,885 |

Depreciation allowances in 1961 amounted to 1.3 billion pesos representing 7.76 percent of the Gross National Product. Its structural characteristics are compactly exhibited in the following two tables:

Table 19. DEPRECIATION VERSUS INDUSTRY COST

| Sectors $\begin{array}{cc} & \because \\ & \because \\ & \therefore \\ \end{array}$ | Deprectiation/ Industry Cont Natio (in \%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vahee of } \\ & \text { Depreciation } \\ & \text { (in P1000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of Total } \\ & \text { Industry Coost } \\ & \text { (in P1000! } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction $\quad \therefore$. | $36.31 \%$ | P 190,093 | P 523,442 |
| Transport Services | 33.35 | 660,757 | 1,981,331 |
| Communication | 11.10 | 4,480 | 40,370) |
| Mining | 8.96 | 20,353 | 227,241 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 5.93 | 17,863 | 301,131 |
| Wood Products $\quad \because$ : | 5.08 | 18,757 | 369,232 |
| Non-metallic Products: | 4.87 | 10,532 | 216,108 |
| Printed Materials ..: | 3.77 | 5,489) | 145,718 |
| Textile Products | 3.63 | 1.9,467 | 535,586 |
| Footwear | 3.51 | 7,599 | 216,688 |
| Electrical Machinery | 3.29 | 4,580 | 1.38,994 |
| Beverages | 3.25 | 7,632 | 234,865 |
| Transport Equipment | 3.02 | 5,585 | 1.84,880 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 2.76 | 5,522 | 200,289 |
| Rubber Products | 2.69 | 4,355 | $1.61,754$ |
| Other Services | 2.60 | 36,998 | 1,423,147 |
| Petroleum Products | 2.57 | 11,656 | 453,621. |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 2.40 | 2,886 | 1.20,457 |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 2.23 | 3,996 | 178,804 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 2.20 | 4,621 : | 210,292. |
| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | 2.19 | 86,475 | 3,939,885 |
| Chemicals | 1.92 | 13,885 | 722,791 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 1.75 | 691. | 39,508 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 1.73 | 36,755 | 2,119,396 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 1.33 | 1,747 | 1.31,151 |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 1.28 | 2,710 | 2.11,129. |
| Tobacco Products | 1.00 | 4,761 | 475,680 |
| Food Manufactures | 0.80 | 30,284 | 3,821,843 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | e 0.20 | 6,691 | 3,426,006: |

Table 20. INDUSTRIAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE TOTAL DEPRECIATION BILL

| Sector | Percent Share in Total Depreciation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Deprociation } \\ (\text { in } P 1000) . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transport services | 501.51\% | P 660,757 |
| Construction | 14.53 | 190.093 |
| Agriculture, fishing, forestry | 6.61 | 86,475 |
| Other services | 2.83 | 36,998 |
| Trade, wholesale and retail | 2.81 | 36,755 |
| Food manufactures | 2.32 | 30,284 |
| Mining | 1.56 | 20,353 |
| 'rextile products | 1.49 | 19,467 |
| Wood products | 1.44 | 18,757 |
| Eiectricity, gas, water | 1.37 | 17,868 |
| Chemicals | 1.06 | 13,385 |
| Petroleum products | 0.89 | 11,656. |
| Non-metallic products | 0.81 | 10,532 |
| Beverages | 0.58 | 7,632 |
| Footwear | 0.58 | 7,599 |
| Banking, insurance, real estate | 0.51 | 6.691 |
| Transport equipment | 0.43 | 5,585 |
| Paper products | 0.42 | 5,522 |
| Printed materials | 0.42 | 5,489 |
| T bacco products | 0.36 | 4,761 |
| Ferrous metal products | 0.35 | 4,62.1 |
| Electrical machinery | 0.35 | 4,580 |
| Communication | 0.34 | 4,480 |
| Rubber products | 0.33 | 4,355 |
| Non-ferrous metal products | 0.31 | 3,996 |
| Other manufactures | 0.22 | 2,886 |
| Non-electrical machinery | 0.21 | 2,710 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 0.13 | 1,747 |
| Leather products | 0.05 | 691 |
| Subtotal | 98.82\% | P1,227,220 |
| Households | 5.56 | 72,759 |
| Government | 0.62 | 8.070 |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{100.00 \%}}$ | P1,308,049 |

5. The Structures of Final Expenditures for 1961. Recall that household expenditures represent besides personal consumption also non-personal or institutional consumption of such entities as private, non-profit groups, e. g. charitable asylums and hospitals. The proportion of these expenditures to the gross national product, viz. $71.51 \%$, can be interpreted :as the average propensity to consume of the entire Philippine population for the year 1961.

Four tables concerning these expenditures will be presented. Table 21 will simply give the distribution of household expenditures. Table 22 classifies in broader categories the types of goods Philippine households bought in the year 1961. In the last two tables, Tables 23 and 24 , interest is focused on the relative importance of these purchases in the total sales of each industry or sector. One of these suggests, to some extent, which industries can be more or less considered consumer goods or capital goods industries, depending on whether the bulk of .sales go into consumption or into capital formation. For example in Table 23 we see that furniture and fixtures, tobacco, beverages, transport services, food, and footwear are relatively more consumer goods (insofar as more than $60 \%$ of their total output or sales terminate in consumption). Table 24, on the other hand, distribute sales to households on the basis of the structure of economic production, i.e. whether they are an output of primary, secondary, or tertiary lines of production.

Table 21. DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURES

| Sector ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Induatry Purchases as Parcent of Total Househoid thapuditures | Value of Howseheld Expendituras (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| l'ood manufactures | 23.26\% | P 2,802,317 |
| Agriculture and fishing | 18.29 | 2,203,31.9 |
| 'Transport services | 12.22 | 1,472,582 |
| Banking, insurance, real estate | 8.26 | 994,774 |
| Trade, wholesale and retail | 6.73 | 810,324 |
| (Other services (health, education) | 4.67 | 563,081 |
| Tobacco products | 3.71 | 446,763 |
| Imports | 3.70 | 446,342 |
| Chemicals | 3.09 | 372,779 |
| Textile products | 2.28 | 274,934 |
| Indirect taxes | 2.10 | 252,516 |
| Beverages | 1.72 | 207,012 |
| Construction | 1.62 | 195,542 |
| Footwear | 1.26 | 151,647 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 1.03 | 124,37. |
| Wood products | 0.94 | 113,545 |
| Petroleum products | 0.79 | 95,052 |
| Depreciation (cars, etc.) | 0.60 | 72,759 |
| Electricity, gas, water | 0.60 | 72,314 |
| Paper products . | 0.52 | 62,655 |
| Inaber products | 0.45 | -54,22f |
| frinted materials | 0.44 | 52.949 |
| Electrical machinery | 0.38 | 46,153 |


| Sector | Iwhantry J'urohases as Percent of Total Household Expenditures | Value of Househodd Eapenditures (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-metallic broducts | 0.34 | 40,971 |
| Transport equipment | 0.33 | 39,097 |
| Domestic services (maids) | 0.31 | 36,796 |
| Communication | 0.11 | 13,008 |
| Non-ferrous metal products | 0.09 | 11,099 |
| Miscellaneous manufactures | 0.08 | 9,576 |
| Non-electrical machinery | 0.07 | 8,382 |
| Leather products | 0.01 | 738 |
|  | 100.00\% | P12,047,623 |

Table 22. MAJOR SECTORAL DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURES

| M/ajor. Sectors | Industry Piorehasm as lercen. o! Fapenditures | Vuhue of Householi Fwopenditures (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactures | 40.79\% | P 4,914,266 |
| Services | 34.21 | 4,121,625 |
| Agriculture and fishing, | 18.29 | 2,203,319 |
| Imports | 3.70 | 446,342 |
| Indirect taxes | 2.10 | 252,516 |
| Depreciation (cars, etc.) | 0.60 | 72759 |
| Linmestic services | 0.31 | 36,796 |
| Total | 100.00\% | P12,047,623 |

Table 23. SALES TO HOUSEHOLDS VERSUS INDUSTRY SALES;

| $\cdots$ Sectors | Household/Indnstr: Sales Ratio (in percent.) | Value of Honesolofd Sates (in P1000) | Value of Total Indesiry Outme: (in Ploon! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 94.83\% | P 124,371 | P 131,151 |
| Tobacco Products | 93.93 | 446,763 | 475,630 |
| Reverages | 88.14 | 207,012 | 234,865 |
| Transport Services | 74.32 | 1,472,582 | 1,981,331 |
| Food Manufactures | 73.32 | 2,802,317 | 3,821,843 |
| Footwear | 69.98 | 151,647 | 216,688 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing | - 55.92 | 2,203,319 | 3,939,885 |
| Chemicals | 51.57 | 372,779 | 722,791 |
| Textile Products | 51.83 | 274,934 | $535,586$. |
| Other Services (Health, Education, etc.) | 39.57 | 563,081 | 1,423,147 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 38.23 | 810,324 | 2,119,396 |
| Construction | 37.36 | 195,542 | 523,442 |
| Printed Materials | 36.34 | 52,949 | 145,718: |


| Sector | Household/Indust'rl/ Satbs Ratio (in percent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vahte of } \\ & \text { Househote Sales } \\ & \text { (in P1000) } \end{aligned}$ | Value of Total Industr:) Output (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rubber Products | $33.52 \%$ | 54,226 | 161,754 |
| Electrical Machinery | 33.21 | 46,153 | 138,994 |
| Communication | 32.22 | 13,008 | 40,370 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 31.28 | 62,655 | 200,289 |
| Wood Products | 30.75 | 113,545 | 369,282 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 29.04 | 994,774 | 3,426,006 |
| Imports | 26.94 | 446,342 | 1.,656,811. |
| Indirect Taxes | 26.64 | 252,516 | 947,972 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 24.01 | 72,314 | 301,131 |
| Transport Equipment | 21.15 | 39,097 | 1.84,880 |
| Petroleum Products | 20.95 | 95,052 | 453,621 |
| Non-metallic Products | 18.96 | 40,971 | 216,108 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 7.95 | 9,576 | 120,427 |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 6.21 | 1.1,099 | 178,804 |
| Depreciation | 5.56 | 72,759 | 1,308,049 |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 3.97 | 8,382 | 211,129 |
| Leather and Leather Products | - 1.87 | 738 | 39,508 |
| Domestic Sales (Maids) | 1.00 | 36,796 | 3,675,467 |

Table 24. RATIOS OF HOUSEHOLD TO TOTAL SALES OF AGGREGATED INDUSTRIES

| Manufactures | $56.04 \%$ | $\mathrm{P} 4,914,266$ | $\mathrm{P} 8,769,310$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture, Forestry, |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Fishing, Mining | 52.87 | $2,203,319$ | $4,167,126$ |
| Services | 41.99 | $4,121,625$ | $9,814,823$ |
| Imports | 26.94 | 446,342 | $1,656,811$ |
| Indirect Taxes | 26.64 | 252,516 | 947,972 |
| Depreciation (Cars, etc.) | 5.56 | 72,759 | $1,308,049$ |
| Domestic Services (Maids) | 1.00 | 30,796 | $3,675,467$ |

Government expenditures excluding new construction and comparable outlays amounted to 1.53 billion pesos or $9.08 \%$ of final expenditures of the Philippine economy in 1961. The industrial distribution of government expenditures are presented in Tables 25 and 26 . Tables 27 and 28 contrasts government purchases with industry sales in the same year.
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Table 25. INDUSTRIAL DISTRIBUTION OF GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES

| Sectors | Ratio of Purchases to Expenditures (in percent) | Value of Expenditures. (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation of Employees | 46.58\% | P 712,436 |
| Wood Products | 6.31 | 96.490 |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 5.72 | 87,427 |
| Printed Materials | 5.46 | 83,450 |
| Imports | 4.91 | 75,080 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 4.53 | 69,216 |
| ،Construction | 4.48 | 68,592 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 3.74 | 59,261 |
| - Other Services | 3.26 | 49,857 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 3.07 | 47,011 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 2.39 | 36,500 |
| 'Transport Equipment | 2.28 | 34,846 |
| Transport Services | 2.07 | 31,595 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 1.91 | 29,185 |
| Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 0.79 | 12,105 |
| Petrolcum Products | 0.73 | 11,177 |
| Depreciation | 0.53 | 8,070 |
| Indirect Taxes | 0.44 | 6,747 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 0.25 | 3,905 |
| .Non-Electrical Machinery | 0.16 | 2,457 |
| Communication | 0.16 | 2,395 |
| Chemicals | 0.11 | 1,772 |
| Rubber Products | 0.06 | 973 |
| Electrical Machinery | 0.05 | 717 |
| Food Manufactures | 0.00 | 35 |
| Footwear | 0.00 | 18 |
|  | 100.00\% | P1,529,317 |

Table 26. AGGREGATED DISTRIBUTION OF GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES

| Compensation of Employees | $46.58 \%$ | P |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Manufactures | 23.03 | 35,436 |
| Services | 22.12 | 338,217 |
| Imports | 4.91 | 75,080 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Mining | 2.39 | 36,500 |
| Depreciation | 0.53 | 8,070 |
| Indirect Taxes | 0.44 | 6,747 |
|  | $\underline{100.00 \%}$ | $\mathbf{P 1 , 5 2 9 , 3 1 7}$ |

Table 27. GOVERNMENT PURCHASES VERSUS TOTAL INDUSTRIAL SALES

| Sectors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of } \\ & \text { Cout. Purchases } \\ & \text { (in P1000). } \end{aligned}$ | Value of total Industry Sales (in P1000). | Purchases/ Sales Ratio (in percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Printed Materials | P 83,450 | P 145,718 | $57.27 \%$ |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 57,261. | 120,427 | 47.55 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 87,427 | 301,131 | 29.05 |
| Wood Products | 96,490 | 369,232 | 26.13 |
| Non-metallic Products | 47,011 | 216,108 | 21.75 |
| Compensation of Employees | 712,436 | 3,675,467 | 19.38 |
| Transport Equipment | 34,846 | 184,880 | 18.85 |
| Construction | 68,592 | 523,442 | 13.10 |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 12,105 | 178,804 | 6.77 |
| Communication | 2,395 | 40,370 | 5.93 |
| Imports | 75,080 | 1,656,811 | 4.53 |
| Other Services | 49,857 | 1,423,147 | 3.50 |
| Petroleum Products | 11,177 | 453,621 | 2.46 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 69,216 | 3,426,006 | 2.02 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 3,905 | 200,289 | 1.95 |
| Transport Services | 31,595 | 1,981,331 | 1.59 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 29,185 | 2,119,396 | 1.38 |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 2,457 | 211,129 | 1.16 |
| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | 36,500 | 3,939,885 | 0.93 |
| Indirect Taxes | 6,747 | 947,972 | 0.71 |
| Depreciation | 8,070 | 1,308,049 | 0.62 |
| Rubber Products | 973 | 1.61,754 | 0.60 |
| Electrical Machinery | 717 | 138,994 | 0.52 |
| Chemicals | 1,772 | 722,791 | 0.25 |
| Footwear | 18 | 216,688 | 0.01 |
| Food Manufactures | 35 | 3,821,843 | 0.00 |

Table 28. GOVERNMENT PURCHASES VERSUS TOTAL AGGREGATED INDUSTRIAL SALES

| Compensation of Employees | P712,436 | P3,675,467 | $19.38 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Imports | 75,080 | $1,656,811$ | 4.53 |
| Manufactures | 352,217 | $8,769,310$ | 4.02 |
| Services | 338,267 | $9,814,823$ | 3.45 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, |  |  |  |
| Fishing, Mining | 36,500 | $4,167,126$ | 0.87 |
| Indirect Taxes | 6,747 | 947,977 | 0.71 |
| Depreciation | 8,070 | $1,308,049$ | 0.62 |

In. 1961, purchases of new fixed assets amounted to $10.8 \%$ of the gross national expenditures, i.e. 1.83 billion pesos. A distribution of these purchases as a fraction of the total sales made out by each industry is presented in Tables 29 and 30. On the other hand, Tables 31 and 32 show the distribution of purchases of new fixed assets by industry and major lines of production.

Table 29. NEW FIXED ASSETS VERSUS TOTAL INDUSTRY SALES

| Sectors $\stackrel{\text { lor }}{\text { Fixa }}$ | Value of Ne\\|r Fized Assets (in P1000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of } \\ & \text { Induatry Sales on } \\ & \text { (in Piovo) } \end{aligned}$ | Assets Purchases over Industry Sales (in percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-electrical Machinery | P172,514 | P 211,129 | - $81.71 \%$ |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 98,753 | 178,804 | 45.23 |
| Transport Equipment | 90,868 | 184,880 | - 49.15 |
| Electrical Machinery | 56,438 | 138,994 | $4 \quad 40.60$ |
| Non-metallic Products | 45,719 | 216,108 | - 21.16 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 43,817 | 210,292 | - 20.84 |
| Petroleum Products | 77,645 | 453,621 | 17.12 |
| Imports | 271,484 | 1,656,811 | 16.38 |
| Construction | 76,469 | 523,442 | 2.14 .61 |
| Trade, Wholesate and Retail | 208,682 | 2,119,396 | - 9.85 |
| Rubber Products | 14,885 | 161,754 | $4 \quad 9.20$ |
| Transport Services | 177,287 | 1,981,331 | 1 8.95 |
| Communication | 3,393 | 40,370 | $0 \quad 8.40$ |
| Indirect Taxes | 70,678 | 947,972 | 27.45 |
| Textile Products | 36,225 | 535,586 | 6.76 |
| Reverages | 12,112 | 234,865 | 5.16 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | ate 174,989 | 3,426,006 | 6.5 .11 |
| Mining | 7,318 | 227,241 | $1 \quad 3.22$ |
| Wood Products | 10,648 | 369,232 | $2 \quad 2.88$ |
| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | y 100,766 | 3,939,885 | $5 \quad 2.56$ |
| Chemicals | 16,433 | 722,791 | $1 \quad 2.27$ |
| Paper and Paper Products | 4,262 | 200,289 | - 2.13 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures: | 2,561 | 120,427 | $7 \quad 2.12$ |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 6,082 | 301,131 | 12.02 |
| Printed Materials | 2,904 | 145,718 | $8 \quad 1.99$ |
| Footwear | 2,630 | 216,688 | $8 \quad 1.21$ |
| Leather and Leather Products | cts 387 | 39,508 | $8 \quad 0.98$ |
| 'Tobacco Products | 4,235 | 475,630 | 0 0.89 |
| Food Manufactures | 30,124 | 3,821,843 | 30.79 |
| -Other Services | 10.044 | 1,423,147 | $7 \quad 0.71$ |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 277 | 131,151 | 10.21 |

Table 30. NEW FIXED ASSETS VERSUS TOTAL SALES OP:MAJOR INDUSTRIES

| $\therefore$ Sectors | Value of Ne"; Fived Agrets (in P1000) | Value of Induatry Sulea (in P1000) | Asubts /'urchanem/ Industry Salrs (in mercent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tmports | P 271,484 | P1,656,811 | $16.38 \%$ |
| Manufactures | 723,432 | 8,769,310 | 8.25. |
| Indirect Taxes | 70,678 | 947,972 | 7.45 |
| Services | 656,946 | 9,814,823 | 6.69 |
| -Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Mining | 108,084 | 4,167,126 | 2.59 |

Table 31. DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES ON NEW FIXED ASSETS

| Sectors | I'urehases over Eapenditures (in percent) | Total Eupenditiones on New F'ized Arget. (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imports | 14.83\% | P 271,484 |
| "Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 11.40 | 208,682 |
| -Transport Services | 9.68 | 177,287 |
| Ranking, Insurance, Real Estate | 9.56 | 174,989 |
| Non-electrical Machinery | 9.42 | 172,514 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing | 5.55 | 100,766 |
| Non-ferrous Metal Products | 5.39 | 98,753 |
| 'Transport Equipment | 4.96 | 90,863 |
| Petroleum Products | 4.24 | 77,645 |
| -Construction | 4.18 | 76,469 |
| Indirect Taxes | 3.86 | 70,678 |
| Electrical Machinery | 3.08 | 56,438 |
| Non-metallic Products | 2.50 | 45,719 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 2.39 | 43,817 |
| Textile Products | 1.98 | 36,225 |
| Food Manufactures | 1.64 | 30,124 |
| Chemicals | 0.90 | 16,433 |
| Rubber Products | 0.81 | 14,885 |
| Beverages | 0.66 | 12,112 |
| Wood Products | 0.58 | 10,648 |
| Other Services | 0.54 | 10,044 |
| Mining | 0.40 | 7,318 |
| Electricity, Gas, Water | 0.33 | 6,082 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 0.23 | 4,262 |
| Tobaccô Products | 0.23 | 4,235 |
| Communication | 0.19 | 3,393 |
| Printed Materials | 0.16 | 2,904 |
| Footwear | 0.14 | 2,630 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 0.14 | 2,561 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 0.02 | 387 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 0.01 | 277 |
| $\cdots$ | $100.00 \%$ | P1,830,624 |

Table 32. AGGREGATED DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES ON NEW FIXED ASSETS

| Aggregated Sector: | I'trohases/ Fivpenditures (in percent) | Total Expenditures on. New Fixed Asscts. (in P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactures | 39.52 | P 723,432 |
| Services | 35.89 | 656,946 |
| Imports | 14.83 | 271,484 |
| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry, Mining' | 5.90 | 108,084 |
| Indirect Taxes | 3.86 | 70,678 |
|  | 100.00\% | P1,830,624 |

Expenditures on net inventory change accounted for 1.76 billion pesos or $10.46 \%$ of the gross national expenditures for 1961. Their distribution in terms of individual industry purchases and in terms of major lines of production are contained in the following Tables 33 and 34. Their relativization with respect to the total industry sales are contained in the next two Tables 35 and 36.

Table 33:. DISTRIBUTION OF NET INVENTORY CHANGE

| Sector. | Industry Perchaso as Per Cent of Total Net huve tory Chang | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of Total } \\ & \text { Net Inventonry } \\ & \text { Change } \\ & \text { (P1000) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing | 42.49\% | P 749,190 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 9.18 | 161,917 |
| Transport Services | 0.18 | 161,917 |
| Imports | 7.04 | 124069 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 5.88 | 103,666 |
| Censtruction | 3.30 | 5¢,215 |
| Rubber Products | 3.01 | 53,044: |
| Indirect Taxes | 2.92 | 51,52! |
| Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 2.44 | 42,96: |
| Chemicals | 2.43 | 42,775 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 1.33 | 23,405 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 1.27 | 22,451. |
| Petroleum Products | 1.18 | 20,748 |
| Non-Electrical Machinery | 1.11 | 19,606 |
| Textile Products | 1.05 | 18,465 |
| Electrical Machinery | 0.94 | 16,468 |
| Footwear | 0.84 | 14,711 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 0.81 | 14,314 |
| Food Manufactures | 0.78 | 13,758 |


| Sector | Industry Purchase as Per Cent of Total Net Inventory Change | Value of Total Nct Inventar" Change (P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paper and Paper Products | 0.60 | 10,583 |
| Beverages | 0.55 | 9,754 |
| Transport Equipment | 0.45 | 7,894 |
| Tobacco Products | 0.31. | [5,398 |
| Mining | 0.21 | 3,591 |
| Other Services | 0.18 | 3,149 |
| Printed Materials | 0.17 | 3,099 |
| Wood Products | 0.16 | 2,005 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 0.15 | 2,747 |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 0.04 | 631 |
|  | 1.00.00\% | P1,762,962 |

Table 34. DISTRIBUTION OF NET INVENTORY CHANGE BY MAJOR PRODUCTION TYPES

| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining | $42.70 \%$ | $\mathrm{P} 752,781$. |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Manufactures | 25.45 | 448,754 |
| Services | 21.89 | 385,829 |
| Imports | 7.04 | $1.44,069$ |
| Indirect Taxes | 2.92 | 51.529 |
|  | $\underline{100.00 \%}$ | $\underline{\text { P1.702,962 }}$ |

Table 35. DISTRIBUTION OF NET INVENTORY CHANGE AS PER CENT OF TOTAL INDUSTRY SALES

| Sector $\quad \stackrel{N}{\text { e }}$ | Net Inventory Change as Per Cent of Total Sales | Value of Not Inventor" Change $(\mathrm{P} 1000)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { (Palcs } \\ \text { (P1000) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leather and Leather Products | 59,24\% | P 23,405 | P 39,508 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 49.30 | 103,666 | 210,292 |
| Rubber Products | 32.79 | 53,044 | 161,754 |
| Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 24.03 | 42,961 | 178,804 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | ng 19.02 | 749,190 | 3,939,885 |
| Miscellaneous Manufactures | 11.89 | 14,314 | 120,427 |
| Electrical Machinery | 11.85 | 16,468. | 138,994 |
| Construction | 11.12 | 58,215 | 523,442 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 10.39 | 22,451 | 216,108 |
| Non-Electrical Machinery | 9.29 | 19,606 | 211,129 |
| Transport Services | 8.17 | 161,917 | 1,981,331 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 7.64 | 161,917 | 2,119,396 |


| Sector: | Net Anventory <br> Change as <br> Per Cent of <br> Total Sales | Value of <br> Net Inventory <br> Chanke <br> (P1000) | Value of <br> Total <br> Sales <br> (P1000) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Imports | 7.49 | 124,069 | $1,656,811$ |
| Footwear | 6.79 | 14,711 | 216,688 |
| Chemicals | 5.92 | 42,777 | 722,791 |
| Indirect Taxes | 5.44 | 51,529 | 947,972 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 5.28 | 10,583 | 200,289 |
| ]etroleum Products | 4.57 | 20,748 | 453,621 |
| Transport Equipment | 4.27 | 7,894 | 184,880 |
| Beverages | 4.15 | 9,754 | 234,865 |
| Textile Products | 3.45 | 18,465 | 535,586 |
| Printed Materials | 2.13 | 3,099 | 145,718 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 2.09 | 2,747 | 131,151 |
| Mining | 1.58 | 3,591 | $227,241$. |
| Tobacco Products | 1.13 | 5,398 | 475,630 |
| Wood Products | 0.79 | 2,905 | 369,232 |
| Food Manufactures | 0.36 | 13,758 | $3,821,843$ |
| Other Services | 0.22 | 3,149 | $1,423,147$ |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 0.21 | 631 | 301,131 |

Table 36. DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES ON NET INVENTORY CHANGE AS PER CENT OF MAJOR INDUSTRY SALES

| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| and Mining | $18.06 \%$ | P752,781 | P4,167,126 |
| Imports | 7.49 | 124,069 | $1,656,811$ |
| Indirect Taxes | 5.44 | 51,529 | 947,972 |
| Manufactures | 5.12 | 448,754 | $8,769,310$ |
| Services | 3.93 | 385,829 | $9,814,823$ |

The structure of Philippine exports and imports are next presented in the group of tables that follow:

Table 37 DISTRIBUTION OF EXPORTS BY INDUSTRY

| Sector | Industry Exports <br> as Per Cent of <br> Total Exporta | Value of <br> Total Exports <br> $($ P1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Food Manufactures | $40.06 \%$ | P |
| Agriculture, Fishing, Forestry | 1333,718 |  |
| Mining | 11.75 | 184,167 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 9.18 | 156,510 |
| Other Services | 8.17 | 122,354 |
|  |  | 108,933 |


| Sector | Industry Exports <br> as Per Cent of <br> Total Exports | Value of <br> Total Exports <br> (P1000) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Textile Products | $5.24 \%$ | P |
| Chemicals | 3.39 | 69,759 |
| Wood Products | 2.91 | 45,124 |
| Footwear | 2.22 | 38,800 |
| Re-exports | 1.50 | 29,550 |
| Tobacco Products | 1.29 | 19,966 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 0.16 | 17,175 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 0.11 | 2,153 |
| Other Manufactures | 0.09 | 1,434 |
| Beverages | 0.06 | 1,226 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 0.04 | 752 |
| All Other Exports |  | 0.01 |
|  |  | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{P 1 , 3 3 2 , 2 6 0}$ |

\footnotetext{
${ }^{1}$ Represents printed materials, rubber products, petroleum products, non-metallic products, non-ferrous metal products, and non-electrical machinery.

Table 38 DISTRIBUTION OF EXPORTS BY MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS

| Sector | Industry Exports as Per Cent of Total Exports | Value of Total Exports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactures | $55.57 \%$ | P 740,330 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining | 25.57 | 340,677 |
| Services | 17.36 | 231,287 |
| Re-exports | 1.50 | 19,966 |
|  | 100.00\% | P1,332,260 |

Table 39 EXPORTS AS PER CENT OF TOTAL SALES EACH INDUSTRY

| Sector | Export as Per <br> Cent of Total <br> Sales of <br> Industry | Value of <br> Total Ewnorts <br> (P1000) | Valut of <br> Total Sales <br> of Industry <br> (P1000) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mining | $68.87 \%$ | $\mathrm{P} 156,510$ | P |
| Food Manufactul'es | 13.96 | 533,718 | $3,821,241$ |
| Footwear | 13.64 | 29,550 | 216,688 |
| Textile Products | 13.02 | 69,759 | 535,586 |
| Wood Products | 10.51 | 38,800 | 369,232 |


| Sector | Eraport as Per Cent of Total Sales of Industry | Value of Total Exports (P1000) | Value of Total Sales of Industry (P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Services | 7.65\% | P108,933 | P1,423,147 |
| Chemicals | 6.24 | 45,124 | 722,791 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 4.67 | 184,167 | 3,939,885 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 3.63 | 1,434 | 39,508 |
| Tobacco Products | 3.61 | 17,175 | 475,630 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | - 3.57 | 122,354 | 3,426,006 |
| Imports (re-exports) | 1.20 | 19,966 | 1,656,811 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 1.02 | 2,153 | 210,292 |
| Other Manufactures | 1.01 | 1,226 | 120,427 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 0.36 | 469 | 131,151 |
| Beverages | 0.32 | 752 | 234,865 |
| Printed Materials | 0.05 | 67 | 145,718 |
| All Other Exports ${ }^{1}$ | 0.02 | 53 | 453,621 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 0.01 | 31 | 216,108 |
| Rubber Products | 0.01 | 19 | 161,754 |

[^3]Table 40. EXPORTS AS PER CENT OF TOTAL SALES OF MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS

| Manufactures | 8.44\% | P740,330 | P8,769,310 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining | 8.17 | 340,677 | 4,167,126 |
| Services | 2.36 | 231,287 | 9,814,823 |
| Imports (re-exports) | 1.20 | 19,966 | 1,656,811 |

Table 39. DISTRIBUTION OF IMPORTS BY INDUSTRY

| Sector. | Industry Imports <br> as Per Cent of <br> Total Imports | Value of <br> Industry <br> (Pionorts |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Households | $26.94 \%$ | $P$ |
| Gross Fixed Capital Formation | 16.39 | 246,342 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | 7.57 | 125,484 |
| Net Inventory Change | 7.49 | 124,085 |
| Food Manufactures | 5.16 | 85,069 |
| Government | 4.53 | 75.080 |
| Chemicals | 3.98 | 65,933 |
| Ferrous Metal Products | 3.91 | 64,790 |


| Sector | Industry Imports as Per Cent of Total Importh | Value of Industry Imports. (P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | 2.04\% | P 33,872 |
| Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 2.02 | 33,446 |
| Transport Services | 1.79 | 29,648 |
| Electrical Machinery | 1.34 | 22,185 |
| Petroleum Products | 1.31 | 21,788 |
| Transport Equipment | 1.30 | 21,490 |
| Exports | 1.21 | 19,966 |
| Textile Products | 1.20 | 19,954 |
| Non-Electrical Machinery | 1.18 | 19,530 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 1.12 | 18,556 |
| Tobacco Products | 1.10 | 18,221 |
| Mining | 1.06 | 17,606 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 1.01 | 16.708 |
| Footwear | 0.92 | 15,283 |
| Printed Materials | 0.89 | 14,811 |
| Other Services | 0.61 | 10,099 |
| Electricity, Gas and Water: | 0.57 | 9,492 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 0.56 | 9,219 |
| Other Manufactures | 0.56 | 9,213 |
| Wood Products | 0.55 | 9,098 |
| Rubber Products | 0.48 | 7,885 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 0.43 | 7,068 |
| Beverages | 0.33 | 5,481 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 0.26 | 4,318 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 0.12 | 1,979 |
| Communication | 0.07 | 1,243 |
|  | 100.00\% | P1,656,811 |

Table 40. DISTRIBUTION OF IMPORTS BY MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS

| Sector | Major Industry Imports as Per Cent of Total Imports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valuc of } \\ & \text { Industr! } \\ & \text { Importa } \\ & \text { (P1000) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactures (I) | 28.91\% | P 478,988 |
| Household (F) | 26.94 | 446,342 |
| Gross Fixed Capital Formation (F) | 16.39 | 271,484 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining (I) | I) 8.63 | 142,991 |
| Net Inventory Change (F) | 7.49 | 124,069 |
| .Services (I) | 5.91 | 97,891 |


| Sector | Major Industry Imports as Per Cent of Total Imports | Value of Industry Imports (P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government (F) | 4.53 | 75,080 |
| Exports (F) | 1.20 | 19,966 |
|  | 100.00\% | P1.656,811 |
| Final Demand (F) | $56.55 \%$ | 936,941 |
| Intermediate Demand (I) | 43.45 | 719,870 |
|  | 100.00\% | P1,656,911 |

Table 41. DISTRIBUTION OF INDUSTRIES IN TERMS OF THE MAGNITUDE OF IMPORT COEFFICIENTS

| Sector In | Import as a Per Cent of Industry Output | Value of Imports (P1000) | Total Value of Industry Output (P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ferrous Metal Products | $30.81 \%$ | P 64,790 | P 210,292 |
| Non-Ferrous Metal Products | 18.70 | 33,446 | 178,804 |
| Electrical Machinery | 15.96 | 22,185 | 138,994 |
| Transport Equipment | 11.62 | 21,490 | 184,880. |
| Printed Materials | 10.16 | 14,811 | 145,718 |
| Paper and Paper Products | 9.26 | 18,556 | 200,289 |
| Non-Electrical Machinery | 9.25 | 19,530 | 211,129 |
| Chemicals | 9.12 | 65,933 | 722,791 |
| Mining | 7.75 | 17,606 | 227,241 |
| Non-Metallic Products | 7.73 | 16,708 | 216,108 |
| Other Manufactures | 7.65 | 9,213 | 120,427 |
| Footwear | 7.05 | 15,283 | 216,688 |
| Construction | 6.47 | 33,872 | .523,442 |
| Furniture and Fixtures | 5.39 | 7,068 | 131,151 |
| Leather and Leather Products | 5.01 | 1,979 | 39,508 |
| Rubber Products | 4.87 | 7,885 | 161,754 |
| Petroleum Products | 4.80 | 21,788 | 453,621 |
| Tobacco Products | 3.83 | 18,221 | 475,630 |
| Textile Products | 3.72 | 19,954 | 535,586 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing | ng 3.18 | 125,385 | 3,939,885 |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 3.15 | 9,492 | 301,131 |
| Communication | 3.08 | 1,243 | 40,370 |
| Wood Products | 2.46 | 9,098 | 369,232 |
| Beverages | 2.33 | 5,481 | 234,865 |
| Food Manufactures | 2.24 | 85,569 | 3,821,843: |
| Transport Services | 1.49 | 29,648 | 1,981,331 |


| Sector | Import as a Per Cent of Industry Outmut | Value of Importa (P1000) | Total Value of Industry Outmit ( ${ }^{(1000)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Services | 0.71 | 10,099 | 1,423,147 |
| Trade, Wholesale and Retail | 0.43 | 9,219 | 2,119,396 |
| Banking, Insurance, Real Estate | 0.12 | 4,318 | 3,426,006 |
| B. Major Industry Groups |  |  |  |
| Manufactures | 5.46\% | P478,988 | P8,769,310 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining | 3.43 | 142,991 | 4,167,126 |
| Services | 1.00 | 97,891 | 9,814,823 |

Table 42. DISTRIBUTION OF MAJOR SECTORS IN TERMS OF RELATIVE IMPORT REQUIREMENTS

| Sector | Imports as per Cent of Total Requirements | Value of <br> (mports <br> (P1000) | Valuc of Total Requirements (P1000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Fixed Capital Formation | 14.83\% | P271,484 | P 1,830,624 |
| Net Inventory Change | 7.04 | 124,069 | 1,762,962 |
| Manufactures | 5.46 | 478,988 | 8,769,310 |
| Government | 4.91 | 75,080 | 1,529,317 |
| Households | 3.70 | 446,342 | 12,047,623 |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Mining | 3.43 | 142,991 | 4,167,126 |
| Exports | 1.50 | 19,966 | 1,332,260 |
| Services | 1.00 | 97,891 | 9,814,823 |

In conclusion, the evidence from the input-output table under consideration defines rather unambiguously that the type of economic protection spawned throughout the 1950's has fructified not only in the emergence of the manufacturing sector and, hence, in the growth of indigenous entrepreneurs but also in pushing the stage of fabrication down the production line, and thereby localizing the production of material inputs, all in all, making for an interlocking and reinforcing system of economic production.
6. Study of Linkage Effects. So far, the analysis has been confined to the level and patterns of national income and ex-. penditure. However, the unique value of an input-output table
consists in its capacity to elucidate the system of industrial interdependences about economic production. These interdependences are revealed by a network of inter-industry sales and purchasers.

Recall once more the basic structure of an input-output system. Briefly, total output is exhausted by the intermediate absorption of industries and the final absorption of the major institutional sectors of the economy such as households, business, the government, and the rest of the world. All production is made partly of intermediate inputs from industries and partly of primary inputs from the major institutional sectors.

For study of interdependences of linkage effects about the process of economic production, it is the intermediate purchases among and the intermediate sales between industries that are of primary consideration. The linkage effect among industries may be in the nature of supplying inputs as in the case of intermediate purchases by industry j from industry i. Alternatively, it may take the form of utilizing output as in the case of intermediate sales of industry $j$ to industry i. Hirschman labels the former type of interdependence as backward linkage and the latter as forward linkage.

The measure that is conventionally used to identify the backward linkage is the ratio of total intermediate purchases ( $U_{j}$ ) of inputs to the total output ( $X_{j}$ ) of an industry. In the case of the forward linkage, it is the ratio of total intermediate sales $\left(W_{i}\right)$ to the total sales or output of an industry. The backward linkage is then the ratio:

$$
u_{i}=U_{j} / X_{j}
$$

and the forward linkage is the ratio

$$
W_{i}=W_{i} / X_{i}
$$

Backward Linkages. The measure adopted here excludes purchases of imported inptits. The value of $u_{j}$, as can be seen from Table 43, varies from $73.89 \%$ in the case of miscellaneous
manufactures to $2.20 \%$ in the case of trade. The average value for all the 29 sectors, however, is $41.85 \%$. Using this value as a benchmark, one notices that industries characterized by a $u_{j}$ value lower than the average for all industries in the system are generally in the nature of 1) service industries, 2) consumer industries, and 3) those to which the service industries themselves are vitally related, e.g., petroleum products.

On the other hand, industries whose $u_{j}$ values are above the average for all industries as a whole are generally manufacturing industries and such basic industries as mining, agriculture, fishing and forestry. Thus, if one were merely concerned about maximizing backward linkages about the national economic system, the direction of such efforts would be in the area of manufactures generally, and to a less extent that of agriculture and mining. As a matter of fact, to the extent that agriculture and mining supply the material inputs for manufactures, any chronic gains in productivity in agriculture and mining would simply reinforce the type of external economics accruing to the manufacturing activities.

Table 43. DISTRIBUTION OF INDUSTRIES IN TERMS OF MAGNITUDE OF BACKWARD LINKAGE EFFECTS

| Sector | Backward ( $u_{j}$ ) Linkage (Inter-Industry Purchases as Per Cent of Total Output) | $\underset{\substack{\operatorname{Ran} k-\\ \operatorname{lng}}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous manufactures | $73.89 \%$ | 1. |
| Leather products | 72.78 | 2 |
| Paper products | 67.04 | 3 |
| Wood products | 61.49 | 4 |
| Non-electrical machinery | 60.43 | 5 |
| Chemicals | 60.11 | 6 |
| Footwear | 58.34 | 7 |
| Non-metallic products | 57.57 | 8 |
| Electrical machinery | 52.24 | $?$ |
| Textile products | 49.76 | 10 |
| Rubber products | 48.46 | 11. |
| Transport equipment | 47.26 | 12 |
| Non-ferrous metal products | 45.81 | 13 |
| Agriculture, fishing, forestry | 44.90 | 14 |
| Ferrous metal products | 44.77 | 15 |
| Printed materials | 44.75 | 16 |
| Mining | 44.26 | 17 |

Table 44. DISTRIBUTION OF INDUSTRIES IN TERMS OF MAGNITUDE OF BACKWARD LINKAGE EFFECTS. 1961 - Continued

| Sector | Backward ( $u_{j}$ ) Linkage (Inter-Industry Purchases as Fer Cent of Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rank- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco products | 41.30\% | 18 |
| Construction | 37.81 | 19 |
| Petroleum products | 31.57 | 20 |
| Electricity, gas, water | 30.07 | 21 |
| Food manufactures | 29.48 | 22 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 25.75 | 23 |
| Communication | 25.41 | 24 |
| Beverages | 25.15 | 25 |
| Transport services | 14.29 | 26 |
| Other services | 13.83 | 27 |
| Banking, insurance, real estate | 3.05 | 28 |
| Trade, wholesale and retail | 2.20 | 29 |
| Unweighted average | 41.85 |  |

Forward Linkages. Table 44 shows the distribution of industries relative to their individual capacity for forward linkages. The configuration of industries indicates that generally industries with high forward linkage effects are somewhat low on backward linkage effects. As a matter of fact, a Spearman rank correlation applied to both distributions registered a negative relationship of 0.006 .

It is also evident from the table that industries with markedly low forward linkages relative to the average of $25.16 \%$ for all industries are either 1) primarily fixed capital goods industries or, 2) primarily consumer goods industries.

There are, however, a number of industries which in terms of both the forward and backward linkage distributions persistently register values above the average values for each.
distribution. These include paper products, leather products, miscellaneous manufactures, wood products, chemicals, nonmetalic products and textile products.

Chart I presents the scatter of industries in terms of their capacity for both forward and backward linkages. At least for these seven (7) industries, one could expect an expansion path over time nourished by a network of reinforcing forward and

CHART


| Sector | Backward <br> Linkage | Forward <br> Linkage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | .7389 | .2947 |
| .23 | .3781 | .2381 |
|  | 24 | .0220 |

SOME AVERAGE FORWARD-BACKWARD LINKAGES

|  | Backward <br> Linkage | Forward <br> Linkage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Italy | .438 | .411 |
| Japan | .487 | .561 |
| Norway | .364 | .304 |
| Philippines | .419 | .281 |
| U.S.A. | .426 | .419 |

7. Applications of Input-Output Analysis. Aside from purely statistical considerations, input-output analysis has other, and perhaps more important, uses. It has been mentioned earlier that this technique of social accounting is uniquely capable of telling on the gaps about a country's system of national statistics. It is equally versatile for structural analysis and economic forecasting. However, its capacity for the latter type of analysis is premised on assuming a certain degree of stability about the system's economic parameters.

As a matter of fact, if contemporary studies in other countries are any good, they indicate for purposes of primarily short-run analysis, a given input-output table as remarkably versatile. The short-run here is usually defined in terms of three years. For long-run structural analysis, obviously what is required at least initially, is a comparative study of a series
of tables set up at intervals of three years, say, for a period of fifteen to twenty-five years.

In the following, some of the various possible uses of input-output analysis are illustrated, although they do not exhaust the range of other possibilities. They are based on what is known in literature as the matrix multiplier. The matrix multiplier is the inverse of the original matrix of transactions coefficients. The inversion was accomplished by the Los Baños Computing Center, University of the Philippines. However, subsequent manipulations of the inverse matrix were performed by the Bureau of the Census and Statistics on its 1401 IBM Computer. The manipulations consisted in multiplying either 1) the elements of the inverse matrix, 2) the elements of the transpose of the inverse matrix, or 3 ) elements of a diagonal matrix derived from the inverse matrix, by a column vector whose elements represent the different economic parameters of the input-output system.

Estimate of Import Content of Output. The direct and indirect import content of output can be estimated by premultiplying a column vector whose elements are ratios of direct imports to output in each industry, i.e., the import coefficients, by the transpose of the inverse matrix. The product, then, indicates not only the imported inputs directly required for production, but also those indirectly required as a result of the fact that even those domestic inputs absorbed directly by one industry from others also have imported ingredients in them.

The results of this operation are shown in Table 46. It is clear from the magnitude of the results that the extent of dependence of Philippine industries on imported inputs does not substantially change even after all the indirect import requirements have also been taken into account. And, except for the ferrous metal products, non-ferrous metal products and electrical machinery industries, Philippine industries really do not appear to be notably dependent on imported inputs. Moreover, the ranking of industries before and after the indirect import requirements have been considered has not materially changed.

One practical use of such a table is to provide a more precise estimate of the total imported input requirements of the different industries or the entire national economy for that matter. It has been the practice in some government agencies when estimating the imported input requirements of certain. industries or of the economy to consider only the magnitude of the import coefficient, i.e., the direct import content of output. Clearly, under a system of industrial interdependence which is the realistic situation, such estimates of imported input requirements fall short of the total requirements by an amount representing the indirect imported input requirements.

As a matter of fact, even the estimates of the national foreign exchange gap between requirements and availabilities can be grossly understated or overstated depending on whether only direct or both direct and indirect imported input requirements are taken into account. This consideration can appreciably vitiate substantive parts of an economic development plan or forecast.

Table 48. ESTIMATE OF IMPORT CONTENT OF OUTPUT

| Sector | Ranking <br> Direct |  | Direct and <br> Indirect | Direct | Direct and <br> Indirect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3.18 \%$ | $3.87 \%$ | 20 | 22 |  |
| 2 | 7.75 | 9.10 | 9 | 12 |  |
| 3 | 2.24 | 3.05 | 25 | 24 |  |
| 4 | 2.33 | 3.03 | 24 | 25 |  |
| 5 | 3.83 | 5.77 | 18 | 17 |  |
| 6 | 3.72 | 5.10 | 19 | 19 |  |
| 7 | 7.05 | 9.58 | 12 | 11 |  |
| 8 | 2.46 | 4.00 | 23 | 21 |  |
| 9 | 5.39 | 6.58 | 14 | 14 |  |
| 10 | 9.26 | 11.95 | 6 | 7 |  |

Table 48. ESTIMATE OF IMPORT CONTENT OF OUTPUT

| Sector | Ranking |  | import content |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Direct | Direct and Indirect | Direct | Direct and Indireet |
| 11 | 10.16\% | 13.10\% | 5 | 5 |
| 12 | 5.01 | 6.25 | 15 | 16 |
| J3 | 4.87 | 6.58 | 16 | 15 |
| 14 | 9.12 | 12.01 | 8 | 6 |
| 15 | 4.80 | 5.68 | 17 | 18 |
| 16 | 7.73 | 9.70 | 10 | 10 |
| 17 | 30.81 | 36.34 | 1 | 1. |
| 18 | 18.70 | 22.08 | 2 | 2 |
| 19 | 9.25 | 10.29 | 7 | 8 |
| 20 | 15.96 | 19.38 | 3 | 3. |
| 21 | 11.62 | 13.46 | 4 | 4 |
| 22 | 7.65 | 10.13 | 11 | 9 |
| 23 | 6.47 | 7.92 | 13 | 13 |
| 24 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 28 | 28 |
| 25 | 1.49 | 2.18 | 26 | 26 |
| 26 | 3.08 | 3.54 | 22 | 23 |
| 27 | 3.15 | 4.14 | 21. | 20 |
| 28 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 29 | 29 |
| 39 | 0.71 | 1.05 | 27 | 27 |

Estimate of Prices of Output in Terms of Primary Inputs. The value or price of industry or commodity ( j ) is decomposable into the value inputs absorbed in the course of its production. These inputs are the sum of produced and non-produced, i.e., primary inputs. However, it is possible to further decompose the value of the produced inputs absorbed by the productive process j in terms of the primary or non-produced inputs.

This operation requires postmultiplying a row vector from the transpose of the inverse matrix, representing industry $j$, by a column vector whose elements consist of the ratio of a particular primary input to the total output in every industry. A replication of this operation for all industries and each primary input results in a schedule which shows for each industry the
relative importance of every primary input in its output, i.e., its price in terms of primary inputs.

Such a distribution is tabulated in Table 7.2 below.

Table 49. PRICES OR VALUE OF OUTPUT IN TERMS OF PRIMARY INPUTS

| Sector | Imports | Net <br> Indirect <br> Taxes | Depreci- <br> ation | Wages | Profits, <br> Rents <br> Interest | Totala $^{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $3.87 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $35.54 \%$ | $56.47 \%$ | $100.04 \%$ |
| 2 | 9.10 | 5.42 | 11.85 | 30.08 | 43.72 | 100.17 |
| 3 | 3.05 | 1.48 | 1.79 | 8.81 | 84.95 | 100.08 |
| 4 | 3.03 | 17.72 | 4.23 | 16.42 | 58.65 | 100.05 |
| 5 | 5.77 | 31.75 | 2.78 | 16.98 | 43.11 | 100.39 |
| 6 | 5.10 | 5.16 | 5.28 | 17.66 | 66.96 | 100.16 |
| 7 | 9.58 | 3.54 | 6.73 | 24.47 | 55.89 | 100.21 |
| 8 | 4.01 | 1.82 | 7.51 | 27.03 | 59.83 | 100.20 |
| 9 | 6.58 | 2.98 | 2.48 | 12.76 | 79.74 | $104.54^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 10 | 11.95 | 10.62 | 4.89 | 15.83 | 56.82 | 100.11 |
| 11 | 13.10 | 3.78 | 6.29 | 29.33 | 47.68 | 100.18 |
| 12 | 6.25 | 6.27 | 2.95 | 14.45 | 70.22 | 100.14 |
| 13 | 6.58 | 4.08 | 4.65 | 17.53 | 67.40 | 100.24 |
| 14 | 12.01 | 5.98 | 4.35 | 15.33 | 62.81 | 100.48 |
| 15 | 5.68 | 21.28 | 4.82 | 6.52 | 61.72 | 100.02 |
| 16 | 9.70 | 6.32 | 7.34 | 17.70 | 59.17 | 100.23 |
| 17 | 36.34 | 12.97 | 3.51 | 11.17 | 36.11 | 100.10 |
| 18 | 22.08 | 10.04 | 4.57 | 19.81 | 43.88 | 100.38 |
| 19 | 10.30 | 13.31 | 3.08 | 17.52 | 55.95 | 100.16 |
| 20 | 19.38 | 12.31 | 5.04 | 14.97 | 48.69 | 100.39 |
| 21 | 13.46 | 21.09 | 6.28 | 18.30 | 41.09 | 100.22 |
| 22 | 10.13 | 7.04 | 17.16 | 19.86 | 81.13 | $135.32^{\text {b }}$ |
| 23 | 7.92 | 0.78 | 38.61 | 16.07 | 41.71 | $105.09^{\text {b }}$ |
| 24 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 1.80 | 12.94 | 84.68 | 100.01 |
| 24 | 2.18 | 1.26 | 34.75 | 11.58 | 61.96 | $111.73^{\text {b }}$ |
| 25 | 3.54 | 0.49 | 11.90 | 55.11 | 29.03 | 100.07 |
| 26 | 2.04 | 7.73 | 15.89 | 70.27 | 100.07 |  |
| 27 | 4.14 | 2.04 | 2.64 | 96.53 | 99.66 |  |
| 28 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 36.99 | 58.13 | 100.08 |
| 29 | 1.05 | 0.20 | 3.71. |  |  |  |

[^4]Such a table is useful in describing the structure of industrial costs for a given period. As a matter of fact, for analysis of inflation of the structural type, the above distribution suggests the direction and the magnitude of repercussions in cost changes between industries in the system. It is also suggestive of the incidence on factor payments of a change in the level of final demand, both as to the distribution and extent of the impact.

Illustrative Analysis of the Price Effects of the Petroleum Tax Proposed in the Marcos Tax Program. FY 1969. As an exercise in the area of analysis suggested by the preceding paragraph, one could consider the proposed increase in the specific taxes on petroleum products, which is part of the Marcos Tax Program for the fiscal year 1969.

As the proposed tax bill has them, these taxes come in three variants: on gasoline, lubricating oil, and crude oil, to be applied over a period of time at graduated rates for some products. The tax increases are quoted in terms of specific taxes. These, however, can be translated into their ad valorem equivalents very easily once the weighted average price of the commodities in question is given. Assuming that all these adjustments have been made, and that the effective tax increase over the period under consideration is $40 \%$ of the initial level, the incidence of such a tax increase would be to raise industrial costs across the board to the extent that petroleum is an input to the industries.

Suppose that the cost of production before the tax increase was set at 1.00 , the effect of a tax increase of $40 \%$ on petroleum products would be to raise unit cost of production in each industry by a factor which is the product of 1 ) the petroleum dependence ratio of this industry, and 2) the given rate of increase in the tax on petroleum products. An index of one industry's dependence on the petroleum industry must reflect both direct and indirect absorption of petroleum products in the course of its production process. It represents the direct effects and "feed backs" of cost of petroleum products in terms
of proportion to total industrial costs of industries. Such an index is an element in the inverse matrix at the intersection of the row for petroleum industry and the column for the other industry. If this inverse coefficient is now multiplied by 1.40 , which reflects the increment in the unit price of petroleum products due to the $40 \%$ tax increase, the product would represent the new unit cost of production for that industry embodying the effect of a tax-induced higher unit price for petroleum inputs absorbed in its production process. A replication of this procedure for all the 29 industries in our I- 0 table would result in a schedule such as Table 50 below, which shows (column 3 ) the extent of effect of the increased petroleum tax on the individual industries and the distribution of its incidence among the different industries.

Table 50. DISTRIBUTION OF THE EFFECT ON UNIT COST
OF PRODUCTION OF AN INCREASE OF $40 \%$ ON
PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

| Sector | Petroleum Dependence Ratio | Increase in Sectoral Unit Cost of Production $(3)=1.40 \mathrm{x}$ | HouseholdIndustry Dependence Ratio | Increase in Prices Paid by Households $(5)=(3) \times(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | . 007777 | . 0109 | . 196038 | . 0021 |
| 2 | . 053620 | . 0751 | * | * |
| 3 | . 010422 | . 0146 | . 249334 | . 0036 |
| 4 | . 006764 | . 0095 | . 018419 | . 0002 |
| 5 | . 006632 | . 0093 | . 039750 | . 0004 |
| G | . 010532 | . 0147 | . 024620 | . 0004 |
| 7 | . 006782 | . 0095 | . 013493 | . 0001 |
| 8 | . 028952 | . 0040 | . 010103 | . 0004 |
| 9 | . 003701 | . 0052 | . 011066 | . 0001 |
| 10 | . 009127 | . 0128 | .005575 | . 0001 |
| 11 | . 007799 | . 0109 | . 004711 | . 0001 |
| 12 | . 004696 | . 0066 | . 000066 | $:$ |
| 13 | . 012684 | . 01.77 | . 004825 | . 0001 |
| 14 | . 023657 | . 0331 | . 033168 | . 0011 |
| 15 | 1.007561 | 1.4106 | . 008457 | . 0119 |
| 16 | . 085852 | . 1202 | . 003645 | .0004 |


| Sector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Petroleum } \\ & \text { Dependenee } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Increase in Sectoral Unit Cost of Production <br> (3) $=1.40 \times!?$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Household- } \\ & \text { Industry } \\ & \text { Dependence } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increase } \\ \text { in Prices } \\ \text { Paid by } \\ \text { Houscholds } \\ (5)=(3) \times(4) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 17 | . 010161 | . 0142 | \% | * |
| 18 | . 010611 | . 0148 | . 000988 | * |
| 19 | . 007903 | . 0111 | . 000746 | * |
| 20 | . 005296 | . 0074 | . 004106 | . 0001 |
| 21 | 008313 | . 0116 | . 003479 | * |
| 22 | . 027485 | . 0385 | . 000852 | * |
| 23 | . 013498 | . 0189 | . 017398 | . 0004 |
| 24 | . 003194 | . 0045 | . 072098 | . 0003 |
| 25 | . 052941 | . 0741 | . 131022 | . 0097 |
| 26 | . 004247 | . 0059 | . 001157 | * |
| 27 | . 094404 | . 1322 | . 006434 | . 0008 |
| 28 | . 000297 | . 0004 | . 088509 | * |
| 29 | . 002668 | . 0037 | . 050099 | . 0002 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 1.000000 | .0325 |

A further question to ask, and which directly affects the Filipino consumer, is: what effect do these increases in industrial costs, triggered off by a $40 \%$ increase in petroleum taxes, have on consumer prices and, therefore, on consumer real income and material well-being? This effect clearly is the sum of the price effects on individual industries which deliver goods and services to the household sector. To estimate the impact due to each industry's delivery to the household sector, one must find the product of 1) the new increase in unit cost of production for each industry, and 2) the dependence ratio of household consumption on this industry's output. Having done this, add up all the price effects on individual industries. The sum represents the totality of effect on the prices paid by households on the same bundle of consumer goods and services as of a given period.

The results of such a procedure are presented in column (5) of table 50 . The index used to capture household de-

[^5]pendence on an industry's output is the proportion of the total household expenditures on goods and services from the 29 industries that goes into the purchase of this industry's output. This is obtained from the original transactions table.

Thus, assuming no lag in price effects and summing all these up, a $40 \%$ increase in the tax on petroleum products provokes a rise in the level of consumer prices equal to .0325 or $3.25 \%$ of the level before the tax increase and at 1961 prices. In current prices, which run to roughly $50 \%$ since 1961 , this $3.25 \%$ could generate to as much as $5 \%$ increase in current consumers price index. In a sense, one could interpret this increase as the price multiplier effect of an increase in indirect taxes on petroleum product. It is equivalent to a summation of an expansion series of effects communicated throughout the structure of household expenditures on goods and services. In real terms, this $3.25 \%$ in consumer prices translates in a reduction in consumer real income and sense of material wellbeing by the same proportion.

The magnitude of the rise in the price level in this instance reflects 1) unit cost of production in 1961 prices, 2) the degree for interdependence among industries in 1961, and 3) given coefficient of elasticity about industry supply. Clearly, depending on the values assumed by any of these three parameters in any given time, the percentage rise in the general level of consumer prices may be greater or smaller.

One further point remains to be made. The above analysis is limited to the price effect of a $40 \%$ tax increase on petroleum products insofar as household expeditures are concerned. Obviously, to capture the totality of effect communicated throughout the entire economic structure of the Philippine economy, one must estimate the price effects individually absorbed by the other components of final demand, viz., government purchases of goods and services, new capital expenditures and exports. Estimation of the latter effects is simply a matter of extending the sort of analysis shown for household expenditures. The
reader may pursue these other analyses himself on the basis of information supplied in this report.

Our primary aim in this exercise has been to indicate the possibilities and direction of analysis implicit in the various manipulations of the inverse matrix of an input-output table.
8. Summary of Findings. Employee compensations in 1961 constituted $21.82 \%$ of a GNP of $P 16.85$ billions. This magnitude was the combined effect of 1) generally subsistence wages, 2) an inchoate labor unionism, 3) stable wage expectations, and 4) the structure of business organization in the Philippines at the time. Service industries accounted for $51.53 \%$ of all employee compensations, primary production for $33.15 \%$, and manufactures for 15.32 .

Profits, rents and interest income represented $64.79 \%$ of the GNP and reflected widespread capital shortages. Service industries generated $61.29 \%$ of all profits, rents and interest payments, manufactures $31.34 \%$ and primary production $7.37 \%$. In general, industries which on purely individual bases were the most profitable, also turned out to be the most socially productive insofar as relative contributions to GNP and capacities for reinvestment were concerned.

Indirect taxes less subsidies contributed $5.63 \%$ to the GNP. Besides the incidence of collection inefficiency and technical smuggling, such a small proportion also implied 1) an economic dualism which effectively reduced the size of the taxable base to the monetized modern sector, and 2) a regime of subsistence wages. Manufactures accounted for $57.15 \%$ of the net indirect taxes, household purchase of goods and services for $26.64 \%$, new purchases of capital goods and services for $12.89 \%$, primary production for $2.61 \%$, and government purchases of goods and services $0.71 \%$. The structure of net indirect taxes in 1961 has been rather regressive.

Depreciation allowances amounted to $7.76 \%$ of the GNP. On the whole, this magnitude indicated the relatively modest
capital requirements of Philippine industries. The major portion of depreciation charges was contributed by service industries, accounting for $72.56 \%$ of the total depreciation bill, with the transport services representing $50.51 \%$, and construction $14.53 \%$. Manufactures formed $14.65 \%$ of the depreciation allowances, primary production $6.61 \%$, households $5.56 \%$ and government $0.62 \%$.

Household expenditures amounted to P 12.05 billion, which is $71.51 \%$ of the final expenditures of the Philippine economy in 1961 . Approximately $41 \%$ of household expenditures went into purchases of manufactures, $34.21 \%$ into purchases of services, $18.29 \%$ in consumption of agricultural and fish products, $3.70 \%$ in consumption of imports, and $2.10 \%$ in indirect taxes.

Government current consumption of goods and services represented $9.08 \%$ of the national expenditures of P1.53 billion. Nearly half of these expenditures, or $46.58 \%$ to be exact, were used towards compensation of government employees in the course of supplying different types of public services. Government absorption of manufactures accounted for $23.03 \%$ of the expenditures, consumption of other services $22.12 \%$, imports $4.91 \%$, and purchases of agricultural, forestry and fish products $2.39 \%$.

New fixed capital expenditures totaled $P 1.83$ billion, or $10.87 \%$ of the final expenditures. About $40 \%$ of these reflect accumulation by manufacturing industries, $35.89 \%$ by service industries, $14.83 \%$ imports, $5.90 \%$ by agriculture and fishing, and $3.86 \%$ payment of indirect taxes. The level of working capital or net inventory change reached P1.76 billion or $10.45 \%$ of the national expenditures. Agriculture accounted for $42.70 \%$ of the net inventory change, manufacturing industries for $25.45 \%$, service industries for $21.89 \%$, imports for $7.04 \%$, and indirect taxes for $2.92 \%$. The ratio of net investment to net national product in 1961 was $14.66 \%$, at prices prevailing during that period.

Total export of goods and services, i.e. the current account in the Philippine balance of payments statement amounted to

P1.33 billion or $7.91 \%$ of the national expenditures for 1961 . Exports of goods accounted for $82.64 \%$ of the total exports with manufactures representing $55.57 \%$, agricultural and mining products $25.57 \%$, and re-exports $1.50 \%$. Only $17.36 \%$ of the country's exports were in the form of services.

Imports of goods and services, on the other hand, totaled P1.65 billion, or $9.7 \%$ of the national expenditures. More than half of these, $56.55 \%$, was absorbed by final demand and the other $43.45 \%$, by intermediate demand. Consumption of imports by households accounted for $26.94 \%$ of the final demand for imports, new fixed capital requirements $16.39 \%$, net inventory change $7.49 \%$, government current consumption $5.53 \%$, and exports $1.20 \%$. The bulk of the imports absorbed by intermediate demand went into the manufacturing industries, $28.91 \%$. The remainder was absorbed by agriculture and mining, $8.63 \%$ and by service industries, $5.91 \%$.

On the basis of the 1961 transactions table, the following industries registered high backward and forward linkages: mining, wood products, paper and paper products, leather and leather products, chemicals, non-metallic products, ferrous metal products, and miscellaneous manufactures. These are the industries which have the greatest degree of interdependence in the national economy and therefore, have the greatest potential to contribute towards an interlocking system of internal and external economies evocative of more output.

The inverse matrix of the original transactions table has been manipulated to reveal 1) the direct and indirect import requirements of output, 2) the prices of output in terms of primary inputs, and 3) the magnitude and distribution of the effect of the proposed surtaxes on petroleum products contained in the Marcos Tax Program for FY 1969. These, of course, do not exhaust the uses to which the inverse matrix can be applied. As a matter of fact, several foreign scholars have been using portions of the data gathered in the course of the research even before they could be published in a report such as this one.
(a) A large difference has been observed between the GNP figure of the National Economic Council (NEC) and that derived from this inter-industry study. For 1961, the (revised) GNP estimated by that office was $\mathcal{P} 13,427^{1}$ million whereas our findings (Table 5) show a GNP of $P 16,846$ million or a difference of some $\operatorname{P} 3,419$ million. This amount is about $25.5 \%$ more than the estimated NEC figures. The national income estimated by the NEC was $\mathcal{P} 11,746$ million whereas the estimate based on this study is $\mathcal{P} 14,590$ million or a difference of some P2,844 million, representing some $24.2 \%$ more than the NEC estimate.

On the other hand, the national income data derived from the "1961 Philippine Inter-industry Relations (Input-Output) Table" also recently released by the NEC showed even marked decreases in both the GNP and NI figures including their various components. From that table, GNP was estimated at $\mathcal{P} 12,504$ million, some P 923 million less than its previous report of P13,427 million. National income amounted to only P10,958 million or P788 million less than the previous estimate.

Other differences observed are shown in the comparative table below:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B C C S } \\ & \text { I P1000 } \end{aligned}$ | Income Account NEC National (P1000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N E C } \\ & \mathbf{I}-0 \\ & (\mathbf{P} 1000) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compensation of employees | P 3,675 | P 4,951 | P 5,339 |
| Profits, rents interest | 10,914 | 6,795 | 5,619 |
| NI (at factor cost) | P14,589 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | P11,746 | P10,958 |
| Depreciation allowances | 1,308 | 696 | 623 |
| Indirect taxes less subsidies | 948 | 985 | 923 |
| GNP | $\underline{\text { P16,846 }}$ | P13,427 | P12,504 |

[^6]In (c) following, it is shown that the NEC estimates of output were based on purchasers' price so that a good portion of output accruing to the trade sector has been included in the no:trade sector. It is reasonable therefore to believe that profits, rents, and interest in the non-trade sector is understimated by an amount equivalent to the product of output accruing to the trade sector and the difference in the trade and the non-trade profits coefficients. It should be noted that the "wholesale and retail" coefficient is among the highest (.82940) being second only to "banking, insurance and real estate" (.96952). With respect to this last sector, the BCS I-0 gives a value-added amounting to $P 3,322$ million, of which $P 3,230$ million is attributed to "profits, etc." and only P81 million went to compensation of employees. The NEC I-0 showed a value-added of only P984 million, of which P361 million went to wages and salaries, P523 million went to "other value-added."
(b) Similar degrees of discrepancy on the expenditure side are apparent:

|  |  | NEC National Income Account (P1000) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NEE} C \\ (\mathrm{P} 1000) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Consumption Expenditure | P12,048 | P10,814 | P11,129 |
| Govermment Current Expenditure | 1,529 | 1,223 | 1,088 |
| Gross Domestic Investment | 3,594 | 1,792 | 2,623 |
| Exports of goods and services | 1,332 | - | 1,176 |
|  | P18,503 | P13,829 | P16,016 |
| Less: Imports of goods and services | 1,657 | $402{ }^{\text { }}$ | 3,290 |
| GNE | P16,846 | P13,427 | P12,726 |

The BCS inter-industry study shows total current expenditure in the private sector of $\boldsymbol{P} 12.048$ million which is $\mathrm{P} 1,234$

[^7]million larger than the NEC figure of P10,814 million in its National Income Accounts. This figure was obtained essentia'ly from the 1961 BCS Households Survey of Income and Expenditure and supplemented by other sources. The difference in government current expenditure is less pronounced whereas the BCS I-0 estimate for gross domestic investment of P3,594 million (consisting of $\mathrm{P} 1,831$ million in fixed assets and $\mathrm{P} 1,763$ million in net inventory changes) is more than double the NEC national account figures for gross domestic investment of $\mathrm{P} 1,792$ million and P971 million more than the NEC I-0 estimate of P2,623 million. It may be observed that during this period, a number of firms had been building inventories in anticipation of full decontrol.

Exports of goods and services in 1961 amounted to only P1,332 million based on the BCS I-0 data. The NEC national accounts and I-0, however, reported P2,347 million and P1,176 million, respectively. The BCS estimated a total import of P1,657 million against the NEC national accounts and I-0 of P2,596 and $\mathcal{P} 3,290$ million, respectively. This marked difference in the foreign trade data given in the two input-output tables is indeed sizeable. While the BCS (which compiles foreigi trade data from basic documents and Customs' manifests) gives a figure on exports of P1,332 million which cover some $80.4 \%$ of its import value of $\mathcal{P} 1,657$ million, the NEC data on exports was P1,176 million, representing only $35.7 \%$ of its import data of P3. 290 million.
(c) Table 10 gives the (gross) value-added distribution by industry. It shows an approximate per cent distribution of national product by industrial origin. However, a more comparable distribution to "National Income by Industrial Origin" of the NEC is indicated below. The NEC I-0 figures are also given in order to determine the level of discrepancies among the various estimates.

|  | $\begin{gathered} B_{1}^{B} c_{0} S \\ (\mathrm{P} 1000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | NEC National Account (P1000! | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { Cent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N_{\text {F F }}^{1} \mathbf{C} \\ & (\mathrm{P} 10000 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Per }}{\text { Pent }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing: | P 1,943 | . 133 | P 3,858 | . 328 | P 3,683 | . 336 |
| Mining | 80 | . 006 | 209 | . 018 | 125 | . 011 |
| Manufacturing | 3,984 | . 273 | 2,090 | . 178 | 2,288 | . 209 |
| Construction | 102 | . 007 | 428 | . 036 | 365 | . 033 |
| Trade, Banking, Insur and Real Estate | ance 5,337 | . 366 | 1,410 | . 120 | 2,203 | 201 |
| Transportation and Communication | 1,032 | . 071 | 41.6 | . 035 | 328 | . 030 |
| Other Services | 2,112 | . 144 | 3,335 | . 285 | 1,966 | .180 |
| NI (at factor cost) | P14,590 | $\underline{1.000}$ | P11,746 | 1.000 | P10,958 | $\underline{1.000}$ |

The BCS inter-industry data shows the relatively small contribution to national income of the agricultural sector. The NEC figures run to roughly a third whereas the former gives only about one-seventh of NI. One major reason for this is that the NEC data are on purchaser's price whereas that of the BCS I-0 estimates are on producers' price. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Purchasers' Price }= & \text { Producers' Price }+ \text { Trade } \\
& \text { Margin }+ \text { Transport Services }+ \\
& \text { Indirect Taxes },
\end{aligned}
$$

the industry value-added in the NEC data is expected to be larger in general by amounts equal to the "trade margin" and "transport services" included in a particular industry while the BCS I-0 data will be larger by these quantities in the "trade. banking, insurance, and real estate" and "transportation and communication" sectors, respectively.

The BCS inter-industry study showed that these "trade margins" ranged from $4 \%$ of output in palay and corn industries to as much as $45 \%$ in the vegetable and related industries and more than $53 \%$ in forestry. Some initial calculations, using the NEC I-0 data, revealed that as much as P1,000 million of the value-added in the "agriculture, forestry and fishing" sector
should have been included in the "trade, banking, insurance and real estate" sector. With this adjustment alone, the agriculture, forestry and fishing" sector would drop from $33 \%$ to $24 \%$, whereas the "trade, banking, insurance and real estate" sector would rise to more than $29 \%$. If further adjustments are made for "transport services", the results could be well below $24 \%$ for the "agriculture, forestry and fishing" sector and more than $29 \%$ for the "trade, banking, insurance and real estate" sector.

The effect of classifying an economic activity in a sector where it does not properly belong is reflected in the contribution to national income of this particular activity. If, for instance, a P100 output is included in agriculture its contribution to national income is only about $\mathcal{P} 49$ whereas if included in the "wholesale and retail" sector, the same output contributes about P96 to national income.

To a certain extent, the classification of economic activities has accounted for some of these discrepancies observed in the industrial origin of NI. The NEC had included, for instance, copra production in the agriculture sector which was classified in "manufacturing" in the BCS scheme of classification A sizeable amount of unlicensed and other small scale manufacturing activities may not have been included in the former figure as well.

Besides the above considerations, a basic explanation for the low proportion of value-added contributed by agriculture to the national income is the type of agriculture which engaged the majority of Filipino farmers-subsistence agriculture The subsistence nature of Philippine agriculture is an amalgem of antiquated production techniques, the seasonality and prolonged gestation periods for farm output and intense population pressure among the farm communities.

The sectors for "mining" and "construction" in the BC'S I-0, being in producers' price, were expected to be larger than the corresponding figures in the NEC estimates, though
the "trade margins" in these sectors may not be as substantial as those of "agriculture, forestry and fishing".

A suprising sector is easily the "Banking, Insurance and Real Estate". The BCS I-0 recorded a total value-added of some P3,311 million representing about $22.70 \%$ of national income. In the NEC national accounts, income orginating in this sector was embedded in the "'trade" sector which was obtained using a composite index derived from other sectors. There is reason to believe, therefore, that income originating in this sector had been practically neglected in previous NEC estimates. In addition, rental value of owner-occupied dwellings which amounted to over P1,000 million in 1961 was included in Services (NEC National Income Accounts) whereas this same estimate was included in real estate in the Bureau's I-0 figure.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Director of the Bureau of Census and Statistics.
    ${ }^{2}$ Consultant of the Bureau of the Census and Statistics.

[^1]:    $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ the peso sales of industry i to industry j (net of import content - the input of the j -th industry purchased from the $i$-th industry.),

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes $2.283,000$ unpaid family workers out of $9,576,00$ labor force.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes government, community, domestic, recreational, ete. services;
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes among oṭhers banking. insurance, and real estate,

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Represents petroleum products, non-ferrous metal products, non-electrical machinery, and transport equipment.

[^4]:    a The relative values do not always add up precisely to $100 \%$ because of rounding-off errors.
    Preliminary figures.

[^5]:    * Either nil or negligilde effert.

[^6]:    1 "Analysis of the National Income of the Philippines for CX 1960-1962", mimeographed cony distributed by the National Economic Council in Sejtember, 1963.
    ${ }^{2}$ Does not ald to P14,590 due to rounding.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ This represents "net import and investment income."

